

LDF Workshop 12 July 2010

Voting Outcomes – A Rank Analysis

Method

1. Participants were asked to rank a number of planning options where 1 is the highest priority.
2. These ranked scores were multiplied by the following weights:

Vote 1	
Rank	Weight
1	1
2	2
3	3
4	4
5	5
6	6

Vote 2	
Rank	Weight
1	1
2	2
3	3
4	4
5	5

3. These weighted scores were summed across all participants.
4. Average scores for each option were calculated by dividing these total scores by the number of participants.
5. The lower the score; the higher the rank.

VOTE 1

Considering the six options below, list in priority order, with one being the most favoured option for development and growth and six being the least, up to 2021

- A - Urban Consolidation
- B - Growth of smaller settlements
- C - New Settlement - Harperbury Eco Village
- D - Urban Small Extensions
- E - Bring forward one or more broad locations
- F - A package of options from the scenarios above

The Lower the score the higher the ranking

Average Scores	A	B	C	D	E	F
Overall (all Participants - 122)	3.39	3.74	4.25	2.78	4.36	2.49
Elected members from parishes, district & county (19)	3.21	3.58	2.53	3.89	4.47	3.32
Residents and local groups (28)	2.61	3.43	3.32	3.75	5.36	2.54
St Albans DC Officers (14)	1.93	4.57	5.36	2.64	4.07	2.43
Schools (40)	4.5	3.58	4.63	2.03	4	2.28
Stakeholders (21)	3.43	4.05	5.57	2	3.81	2.14

Average Order	A	B	C	D	E	F
Overall (all Participants - 122)	3	4	5	2	6	1
Elected members from parishes, district & county (19)	2	4	1	5	6	3
Residents and local groups (28)	2	4	3	5	6	1
St Albans DC Officers (14)	1	5	6	3	4	2
Schools (40)	5	3	6	1	4	2
Stakeholders (21)	3	5	6	1	4	2

Number of participants in brackets

VOTE 2

Considering the five options below, list in priority order, with one being the most favoured option for development and growth and six being the least, from 2021 to 2028

- A - Continued urban consolidation
- B - Continued growth of smaller settlements
- C - Continued urban small extensions
- D - One or more Broad locations
- E - A package of options from the scenarios above

The Lower the score the higher the ranking

Average Scores

	A	B	C	D	E
Overall (all Participants - 126)	3.02	3.45	2.66	3.42	2.46
Councillors (21)	2.38	3.33	3	3.14	2.95
Residents and local groups (32)	2.53	3.41	3.09	3.78	2.19
SADC Officers (11)	2.55	4.55	2.91	2.91	2.09
Schools (40)	3.7	3	2.28	3.6	2.43
Stakeholders (22)	3.27	3.86	2.18	3.18	2.5

Average Order

	A	B	C	D	E
Overall (all Participants - 126)	3	5	2	4	1
Councillors (21)	1	5	3	4	2
Residents and local groups (32)	2	4	3	5	1
SADC Officers (11)	2	5	3	3	1
Schools (40)	5	3	1	4	2
Stakeholders (22)	4	5	1	3	2

Number of participants in brackets