

ST ALBANS CITY AND DISTRICT COUNCIL

DECISION REGISTER

Portfolio(s) decision relates to:	Kyngston House	Name of Portfolio Holder:	CLlr Chris Oxley
Name of decision maker:	Andrew Wearmouth	Signature of decision maker:	
Name of relevant Overview and Scrutiny Committee:	O&S (Corporate Services)	Date of decision:	20 April 2006

Type of decision (<i>please tick</i>)	Key	X	Significant		Virement		Other	
---	-----	---	-------------	--	----------	--	-------	--

Scheme of delegation reference under which decision is taken:	Cabinet 4 April 2006
---	----------------------

Has this decision drawn upon confidential information? (<i>If yes – please set out what confidential information has been taken into account on a separate sheet</i>)	Yes – Tender documentation
---	----------------------------

DECISION (*Note this should be written in the style of a committee minute and should not include confidential information*):

KYNGSTON HOUSE, INKERMAN ROAD, ST ALBANS

Resources Portfolio Holder

Overview and Scrutiny Committee (Corporate Services)

DECISION

In accordance with the decision of Cabinet at its meeting of 4 April, the Valuer and Estates Surveyor, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Resources and the Chair of Cabinet, offered Kyngston House to the highest unconditional bidder. Cabinet also agreed that the decision should be treated as urgent and not therefore subject to the call-in procedure.

In arriving at my decision I have properly and thoroughly taken into account the legal, financial and workforce implications for the Council. My decision is within the Council’s policy and budget framework and complies with the regulations and procedures set out in the Constitution. I have explicitly considered the Council’s corporate objectives in arriving at my decision. In coming to my decision I have consulted with all relevant parties as appropriate and in accordance with the scheme of delegation.

REASON/S FOR THE DECISION			
The basis for this decision is that the offer was the highest unconditional offer received and will result in early completion of the sale.			
OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED:		REASONS FOR REJECTING THESE OPTIONS	
1. Sale to highest conditional bidder		Uncertainty of planning permission being granted for the development proposed by this bidder and the delay in the sale as a result of awaiting a planning decision.	
2.			
3.			
CONSULTEES			
In making this decision, I have consulted the following people:			
Name and Signature	Position	Date of consultation	Outcome of consultation (may cross reference to supplementary/background sheets)
Cllr Oxley	Resources Portfolio Holder	13 and 19 April	Confirmed Decision
Cllr Donald	Chair of Cabinet	13 and 19 April	Confirmed Decision
LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT) 1985			
Bibliography	Custodian	File location	
E/P/97	Andrew Wearmouth	The Pod Civic Centre	

Confidential information under paragraph 7 of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended) was taken into account in reaching this decision, as included on a separate summary sheet supplied with this decision sheet but not available to the public.