

Burston Garden Retirement Village, St Albans, Hertfordshire

Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment

Prepared by PRP on behalf of Castleoak

February 2021





prp-co.uk

Architecture  
Urban Design  
Masterplanning  
Landscape  
Development Consultancy  
Research

PRP Job Reference / Document Number  
AL6903 / 001

Issuing Date / Office  
May 2018 / Surrey

Revision Number / Date Checked  
Feb 2021 / Rev E

Project Lead  
Helen Sayers

Original File Location  
P:\AL6903 Burston Landscape\PRP Live Data\Presentation\03 LVIA\06 - 2021



**Client**  
CastleOak  
Raglan House  
Malthouse Avenue  
Cardiff Gate Business Park  
Cardiff CF23 8BA



**Planning Consultant**  
DPV Consult  
20 Clyde Road  
London  
N22 7AE



**Architects**  
PRP  
Ferry Works  
Summer Road  
Thames Ditton  
Surrey  
KT7 0Qj



**Landscape Architect**  
PRP  
Ferry Works  
Summer Road  
Thames Ditton  
Surrey  
KT7 0Qj



**Flood Risk & Drainage Engineer**  
Intrado  
Castle House  
63/69 Cardiff Road  
Taffs Well  
Cardiff  
Cf15 7Rd



**Transport & Highways Engineer**  
Peter Evans Partnership  
21 Richmond Hill  
Clifton  
Bristol  
BS8 1BA

**Site Address**  
Land to Rear of Burston  
Garden Centre  
St Albans  
AL2 2DS

Contents

|   |          |  |           |   |           |
|---|----------|--|-----------|---|-----------|
| <b>1.1. Scope of Assessment</b>                                 | <b>4</b> | <b>1.4. Baseline Conditions</b>  | <b>12</b> | <b>1.9. Summary and Conclusions</b>                 | <b>33</b> |
| Consultation  | 4        | Landscape Character  | 12        | Summary of Effects on Landscape during Construction | 33        |
| Extent of the study area  | 4        | Visual Conditions  | 12        | Summary of Effects on Landscape at Operation        | 33        |
| <b>1.2. Key Legislation, Policy and Guidance Considerations</b> | <b>5</b> | Key Views  | 13        | Summary of Effects on Views during Construction     | 33        |
| National Guidance   | 5        | Heritage Views   | 13        | Summary of Effects on Views at Operation            | 33        |
| Legislative Framework   | 5        | <b>1.5. Identification and Description of Changes Likely to Generate Effects</b> | <b>14</b> | Conclusions   | 34        |
| National Planning Policy  | 5        | Landscape Elements of Proposed Development                                       | 14        | <b>1.10. References</b>                             | <b>34</b> |
| Local Planning Policy   | 5        | Potential Construction Impacts   | 14        | LVA 01 Figures                                      | 36        |
| St Albans Green Belt Review                                     | 5        | Potential Operational Impacts  | 14        | LVA 02 Photographic Viewpoints                      | 46        |
| Landscape Characteristics                                       | 6        | <b>1.6. Assessment of Likely Significant Effects</b>                             | <b>15</b> | LVA 03 Accurate Visual Representations              | 64        |
| 'Hertfordshire Landscape Character Assessment'                  | 6        | <b>1.7. Scope for Mitigation</b>   | <b>31</b> | LVA 04 Heritage Views                               | 72        |
| Location  | 7        | Embedded Mitigation  | 31        |   |           |
| Landscape Character   | 7        | Avoidance Measures   | 31        |   |           |
| Key Characteristics   | 7        | Further Recommended 'Additional Mitigation', Compensation and Enhancement        | 31        |   |           |
| Distinctive Features  | 7        | <b>1.8. Residual Effects</b>   | <b>32</b> |   |           |
| Physical influences   | 7        | Residual Effects on Landscape During Construction                                | 32        |   |           |
| Historical and cultural influences                              | 7        | Residual Effects on Views During Construction                                    | 32        |   |           |
| Visual Characteristics  | 8        | Residual Effects on Landscape at Operation                                       | 32        |   |           |
| <b>1.3. Assessment Methodology</b>                              | <b>9</b> | Residual Effects on Views at Operation   | 32        |   |           |
| Determination of the Baseline                                   | 9        |  |           |   |           |
| Assessing the Significance of Effects                           | 9        |  |           |   |           |
| Prediction Methodology  | 10       |  |           |   |           |
| Limitations and Assumptions                                     | 11       |  |           |   |           |

## 1.1. Scope of Assessment

- 1.1.1. This assessment considers the landscape and visual impacts of the proposed development of land to the rear of Burston Garden Centre, North Orbital Road, St Albans AL2 2D5. The assessment identifies an existing baseline, against which the Application Site's capacity for change in respect to its existing landscape and visual context, can be assessed.
- 1.1.2. The report describes the methodology applied to assess any predicted effects, the current baseline conditions, and the potential direct and indirect effects of the Proposed Development at construction and during operation. The assessment also considers the application of mitigation measures to prevent, moderate or offset any predicted adverse impacts, together with consideration for the potential residual effects remaining after mitigation.
- 1.1.3. For a visual appraisal of potential 'heritage' impacts on the setting of Burston Manor refer to verified imagery at Appendix LVA04 – Heritage Views. These verified views contribute to the wider appraisal of potential heritage impacts associated with the proposed development and were agreed at the pre-application meeting with St Albans in November 2020.

### Consultation

- 1.1.4. Comments received from other specialist consultants on the sensitivities of the natural environment (specifically views, landscape and ecology matters) have been reviewed and are addressed where appropriate within this assessment.
- 1.1.5. Reference is made to the St Albans green belt review - sites and boundaries study prepared by SKM on behalf of St Albans City and District Council February 2014 in respect to landscape sensitivity and potential development capacity at a local level within the green belt.

### Extent of the study area

- 1.1.6. The study area comprises all land within an approximate radius of 1.5km from the centre of the Application Site. The limited extent of the study area is due to the enclosed nature of the local landscape comprising a shallow undulating topography, mature woodland, built form and hedgerow context.
- 1.1.7. The degree of visual containment is high, with distant views within the study area limited to the western boundary via an opening between the mature woodland block of Birch Wood and How Wood and the built form associated with Chiswell Green.
- 1.1.8. Footpath HCC FP3 on the east boundary and the Grade II listed Burston Manor represent the most sensitive receptors to development of the site.



# 1.2. Key Legislation, Policy and Guidance Considerations

## National Guidance

This assessment follows the guidance set out in the following key documents. The Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment, Third Edition, 2013 Guidance.

The Countryside Agency and Scottish natural Heritage, 2002. Landscape Character Assessment: Guidance for England and Scotland.

## Legislative Framework

The applicable legislative framework in relation to the landscape and visual impacts includes the Hedgerow Regulations 1997. The Hedgerow Regulations provide protection to important hedgerows in the countryside by controlling their removal through a system of notification. Hedgerows can be classified as important for their biodiversity and historic value according to criteria set out within the Regulations.

Planning policies and their relevance to the Application Site are discussed in detail in the Planning Statement supporting this application. This section provides a summary of relevant policies at the national, regional and local levels.

## National Planning Policy

The National Planning Policy Framework was released on 27 March 2012 and supersedes the Planning Policy Statements (PPS). The NPPF is underpinned by a presumption in favour of sustainable development. The core principles of the NPPF encourage the conservation and enhancement of natural and historic environments, for example the protection of important views. It is acknowledged that the NPPF is now the framework for decision- making in the planning process. However, technical aspects of EIA methodology (relevant to this Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment document) will continue to be guided by industry principles within the former PPS, for example:

- *Planning Policy Statement (PPS) 1: Delivering Sustainable Development (2005)*
- *PPS 5: Planning for the Historic Environment (2010) PPS 9: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation*

## Local Planning Policy

The current adopted Local Plan is The District Local Plan Review 1994. This is being replaced by a new Local Plan to inform development proposals up to 2036. Local Plans “expired” after 27th September 2007 unless ‘saved’ in whole or in part. In 2007, a Direction was made saving specified policies of the District Local Plan Review 1994 enabling these to continue to inform the development plan for St Albans.

The policies identified in the ‘saved list’ are therefore the remaining operational policies within the District Local Plan Review 1994. Any policies not on the list have expired and no longer inform the development plan.

The policies most relevant to the proposed development of this site include:

Policy 1: Metropolitan Green Belt

As a key structuring policy all proposed development in the Green Belt (excluding Green Belt settlements or smaller villages within the Green Belt referred to in Policy 2 or in Very Special Circumstances) will not be granted permission other than for purposes including:

- Mineral extraction;
- Agriculture;
- Small scale facilities for participatory sport and recreation;
- Other uses appropriate to rural areas; and
- Conversion of existing buildings to appropriate uses without harm to the character and appearance of the countryside.

‘New development within the Green Belt shall integrate with the existing landscape. Siting, design and external appearance are particularly important and [landscape enhancement] will normally be required.’

At a National level Green Belt Policy serves five strategic purposes:

- To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas;
- To prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another;
- To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment;
- To preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and
- To assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.

Policy 69: General Design and Layout

With specific regard to ‘Context’ – the massing and siting of buildings to create safe, attractive spaces of human scale

Policy 70: Design and Layout of New Housing

With specific regard to ‘Design and Layout’ – the scale and character of surrounding built form in terms of height, scale and density.

Policy 74: Landscape and Tree Preservation

Policy 75: Green Space within Settlements

Policy 77: Reuse and Adaptation of Buildings in the Green Belt  
Policy 86: Buildings of Special Architectural or Historic Interest

With specific regard to ‘Design and Layout’ – the scale and character of surrounding built form in terms of height, scale and density.

## St Albans Green Belt Review

The following documents were commissioned by the Council to inform its Local Plan and provide an evidence base for plan-making. These documents do not constitute planning policy.

*‘The Green Belt designation carries significant weight as a material consideration in planning policy and development management. Government policy is explicit that changes to Green Belt designations should be made through the Local Plan process, in the context of promoting sustainable development as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). 1.4.3. [These studies] therefore provide only one piece of evidence among a wide range of studies and considerations that must be taken into account before the Council can decide on any changes to Green Belt boundaries. Wider issues not considered by this study include infrastructure capacity (in relation to transport and local services and facilities), the availability of land for development (in relation to the market and land ownership), site access and District wide sustainability issues’.*

GB001 Green Belt Review Sites and Boundaries Study February 2014

GB004 Green Belt Review Purposes Assessment Final Report November 2013

GB006 Green Belt Review Purposes Assessment Annex 1 - Parcel Assessment Sheets for St Albans City & District Council' November 2013

GB006 Green Belt Review Purposes Assessment Annex 1 - Parcel Assessment Sheets for St Albans City & District Council November 2013' overview

Green belt policy for the Council is discussed in the Planning Consultant's Report submitted as part of this planning application.

There was a previous application for the site that was refused on 20 March 2019 under LPA ref. LPA ref. 5/2018/1324/LSM and the subsequent appeal dismissal on the 9 January 2020 (PINS ref. APP/ B1930/W/19/3235642). The Inspector gave substantial weight to her assessment that the scheme would impact on openness and its conflict with three of the Green Belt purposes which meant that the scheme would conflict with both national and local planning policy (see para 39); namely:

- (a) to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas;
- (b) to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another; and
- (c) to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment.

Having found that the development would harm the Green Belt due to inappropriateness, loss of openness and conflict with the Green Belt purposes and would also cause heritage harm the Inspector then weighed this harm against the public benefits the proposals would provide (see paras. 90 to 98) and concluded:

*‘... despite the considerable merits of the development, the inherent conflict with the development plan and national policy with regard to harm to the Green Belt, designated heritage assets and character and appearance, lead me to conclude that the very special circumstances necessary to justify the proposed development have not been demonstrated’* (para. 98).

In light of the recent planning history, this scheme proposes a significant reduction in the quantum and density of development with the removal of the 64-bed care home. This enables the number of assisted living units and care bungalows to remain the same at 80 apartments and 45 bungalows whilst substantially reducing scale, mass and density. These buildings are located further away from the sensitive boundaries of the bridleway and Burston Manor and more room is created for woodland edge planting to help screen and assimilate the proposed development into its surroundings. Key views across the site are maintained from the bridleway and Burston Manor to retain a sense of openness across the application site. Within the site this is assisted by the creation of more open, green space, creating a significantly greener and more open character compared to the previous application.



Landscape Characteristics

Natural England has prepared a map showing the broad landscape character zones for each area. The Application Site lies within the Chilterns (Character Area 110), the key characteristics of which include:

- The chalk plateau is incised by parallel branching valleys gently shelving to the south-east into the London Basin. The large chalk aquifer is abstracted for water to supply London and its surrounds and also supports flows of springs, chalk streams and the River Thames;
- There are several chalk streams. Features associated with a history of modification include historic mills, watercress beds, culverts and habitat enhancements;
- The north-west-facing escarpment is an abrupt relief feature beside low-lying vales, breached notably by the Thames at the Goring Gap. The escarpment lowers northwards, terminating as distinct hills. The Chiltern ridge offers panoramic views;
- Within the Chilterns, views are enclosed within branching valleys, sunken route-ways and extensive woodland and hedgerow-enclosed fields. There are hidden, tranquil pockets along single track lanes and rights of way;
- A mixture of arable, grassland and woodland and the numerous commons reflects the dominance of Grade 3 agricultural land. Ancient woodland has remained on extensive clay-with-flint deposits, while very steep slopes are rarely cultivated. There are, however, not inconsiderable areas of Grade 1 and 2 land that are associated with lower-lying areas and river valleys;
- The Chilterns are one of the most wooded lowland landscapes in England. The area is particularly renowned for its extensive native beech woods, several of which are designated as part of the Chilterns beech woods Special Area of Conservation (SAC). Other distinctive features include rare box woods, ‘hanging’ woods on steep slopes and rare yew woods, including Hartslock Wood SAC;
- Pre-18th-century fields defined by ancient, often sinuous hedged boundaries are scattered throughout, including co-axial fields. Parliamentary enclosure fields are limited. Large modern fields, usually with ancient boundaries, cover the better agricultural land, most notably in the north-east;
- Remnants of various historic land use types can combine rich and diverse habitats and archaeology. Many key places are publicly accessible, including Registered Parks and Gardens, historic downland and common land. Traditional flood plain landscapes and orchards are the most restricted in extent. Historic route-ways, hedged boundaries and watercourses

provide connectivity;

- Historic downland preserves prehistoric archaeology and supports high numbers of rare and scarce chalk grassland vascular plants, mosses and liverworts. Diversity is enhanced by a mosaic of chalk grassland, scrub and woodland, with Hartslock Wood SAC being one example;
- Species strongly associated with the Chilterns include the red kite, pasque flower, stag beetle, Chiltern gentian, shepherd’s needle, chalkhill blue butterfly and native box. Aston Rowant SAC protects an internationally important juniper scrub population. Farmland birds and deer are a feature of the wider countryside;
- Nucleated settlements of medieval origin and land farmed since prehistory are found alongside watercourses and springs in the through-valleys and at the foot of the scarp. Elsewhere, dispersed farmsteads dating from the medieval period and mid-19th-century development around commons are characteristic of the plateau;
- The River Thames and its flood plain mark a distinctive area in the south. The river is a focus for settlement, abstraction and recreation;
- Major transport routes, including motorways, radiate from adjacent Greater London, associated with significant 20th-century development and extensive urban fringe areas;
- Brick and flint are the dominant traditional building materials, with Totternhoe Stone (clunch) being less common, but still a distinctive;
- Numerous parkland landscapes define large, historic estates. Designs by Humphry Repton and Lancelot ‘Capability’ Brown are represented, and the houses, follies and wooded features provide local landmarks; and
- Extensive rights of way, commons, open access downland, woodland and some parklands provide access to the countryside.

Strategic opportunities within the character area are identified as:

- Maintaining woodland on ancient woodland sites and conserving ancient hedgerow boundaries. Conserve ancient trees and veteran trees, planting or identifying nearby successors in order to secure the deadwood resource and associated biodiversity in the long term. Continue restoration of Plantations on Ancient Woodland Sites;
- Conserving the diverse arrangements and particular species compositions of wooded features in designed landscapes, incorporating native and exotic species in avenues, groves, belts, shrubberies and so on. Carry out historic landscape

character assessments and devise management plans to inform conservation efforts. Ensure that succession planting respects the original plantings and seeks to maintain the historical continuity and sense of place. Target Registered Parks and Gardens, particularly those ‘at risk’, but also consider parklands of local importance and ‘landmark trees’;

- Managing all wooded features to benefit biodiversity, considering the needs of woodland species including woodland butterflies, birds and deadwood invertebrates;
- Conserving and recording archaeology in ancient and secondary woodland. Draw on best practice developed by, for example, the Chilterns AONB and North Wessex Downs AONB;
- Using historic landscape information to engage the public in discussion about change in the landscape, particularly in relation to tree clearance and scrub management on once-open common land and downland;
- Drawing on best practice developed by, for example, the Chiltern Woodlands Project, to ensure appropriate management of woodlands across the Chilterns;
- Drawing on the best practice example of the Chilterns Special Trees and Woods Project to engage the public in recording and celebrating wooded features beyond the Chilterns AONB. Focus such efforts in green spaces and along routes that are publicly accessible. Manage and enhance field boundaries and small woodlands as connections in the woodland network and also as part of a diverse habitat mosaic. Plant hedgerows where there is poor connectivity, particularly where this will also restore historic boundaries. Manage large, species-rich woodlands, such as the Chilterns Beechwoods SAC, as core areas in the ecological network. Focus particularly on conservation of ancient hedged boundaries and ancient woodlands in order to secure their high species richness;
- Planning clearance of secondary woodland where it would restore species rich and fragmented open habitats and restoring key views and historic landscapes. Due to the sensitivities of tree clearance and major landscape change, undertake this work in partnership with local stakeholders. Ensure that historic features are not negatively impacted by clearance. (Open habitats include grassland and heathland in downland, common land, farmland and flood plain settings.);
- Strengthening and developing new local markets for ‘local’, ‘sustainable’, ‘traditional’ woodland products, including wood fuel, which delivers climate regulation benefits;
- Managing the woodland resource to accommodate and drive appropriate woodland-based recreation activities that generate an income to support suitable woodland management. Draw from existing successful examples such as

the visitor attractions at Wendover Woods and at Aston Hill Bike Park, the mountain bike course at Halton. Promote and manage demand for recreation to avoid unsustainable visitor numbers, recognising that recreational uses are not appropriate in some woodlands;

- Managing visitor pressure and forestry impacts on the woodland’s ecological and historic environment features;
- Strengthening and enhancing multi-user access links between settlements and woodlands, facilitating greater community stewardship of local green spaces. Prioritise access to woodlands near to people’s homes and workplaces, creating new woodlands where appropriate;
- Managing small woods associated with farmland as part of the wider ecological network and as a resource that can be managed to provide small-scale products of value to the farmer. Secure buffers in farmland adjacent to woodlands, veteran trees and hedgerow boundaries, particularly where high chemical input and deep ploughing is undertaken; and
- Creating new forestry infrastructure that makes sustainable woodland management more viable, such as rides and sawmills.



## 'Hertfordshire Landscape Character Assessment'

Hertfordshire's landscape character assessment describes the variations in character between different types of landscape in the county. It provides an evidence base for Local Plans and sets out strategies and guidelines for the protection, management and planning of the landscape.

The Application Site lies within Character Area 18 'Bricket Wood'.

### Location

'Between Bricket Wood, How Wood and the River Ver and Colne Valley.'

### Landscape Character

'Between an area of mixed land uses and transitional character, including considerable woodland, unrestored mineral workings, educational, industrial, horticultural and arable land. The area has undergone significant change in the 20th Century and is impinged upon by settlement at Bricket Wood and How Wood, together with a marked severance by the M25. The historic pattern is well preserved in Bricket Wood Common, but eroded in many other locations, showing poor management and some dereliction.'

### Key Characteristics

- A number of ancient woodlands;
- Poorly or partly restored mineral workings;
- Strong severance by the M25 and railway line;
- Secretive and secluded character of Bricket Wood Common ;
- Tall and poorly-managed hedgerows with significant dieback; and
- Scattered industrial and residential properties.

### Distinctive Features

- Ornamental grounds of HSBC College and associated sports centre; and
- Wells and ponds at Bricket Wood Common

### Physical influences

(i) Geology and soils

The geology comprises Aeolian silty drift and till. The soils are stoneless, slowly permeable, seasonally waterlogged coarse loamy soils and silty over clayey soils (Gresham series). Bricket Wood

Common is located on acidic boulder clay which leads to a wet heath habitat. Moor Mill Quarry SSSI is a site of gravels, silts and chalky till which helps trace the diversion of the proto-Thames;

(ii) Topography

The area is a gently undulating plateau except at the eastern fringes, adjoining minor tributary valleys next to the River Colne. Degree of slope. Typically 1 in 80. Up to 1 in 12 on secondary valleys to Colne. Altitude range. 83 - 75m; (iii) Hydrology

Drainage on Bricket Wood Common is poor, particularly to the east of the railway. There are a number of small water-bodies and ponds scattered through the area, associated with either the Common, old farmsteads, old gravel workings or more ornamental lakes linked to the HSBC College. Minor streams flow along some of the local depressions;

(iv) Land cover and land use

Land cover can be broadly split between woodland, arable and disused minerals sites. Arable land, although present throughout the area, is not visually prominent due to the presence of tall though poorly-managed hedgerows. There are a few pasture fields remaining, associated with the settled fringe of Bricket Wood Common and adjacent settlement edges. Former mineral workings degrade the area, particularly adjacent to the M25, which itself is flanked by substantial earth bunds, thereby containing the area visually. A former minerals site on Lye Lane is to be restored for golf use;

(v) Vegetation and wildlife

Bricket Wood Common (SSSI) is an important example of lowland heath. Part of the site is ancient oak/hornbeam woodland but much has regenerated from the former open, wet, acidic heath to scrub woodland, including birch and oak. The Common merited three separate field studies in J.G. Dony's Flora of Hertfordshire.

Significant areas of hornbeam coppice have developed into a series of eerie anthropomorphic forms. Active management maintains the mix of woodland habitats. Other tree species include hazel, aspen, alder buckthorn and sessile oak.

The main elements of heathland flora still survive due to recent management and include heather, heath grass, heath milkwort and heath spotted orchid. The Common also contains the largest colony of violet helleborine in the county. Many of the other woodlands, including Blackgreen Wood, Nottlers Wood and Mutchetts Wood are ancient, though poorly managed. Woodland edges are marked by deep ditches, banks and hornbeam coppice.

The woods are relatively drier than the Common and species include hornbeam, beech, oak and ash. Hedgerow species include elm, hawthorn, hazel and holly, although much of the elm is dying back. There are some local areas of dry heath associated with areas of gravel capping;

### Historical and cultural influences

The traditional cohesion of the area has been disrupted by the extent of built development in the 20th century together with mineral extraction. The Common was historically managed by a combination of grazing, coppicing and burning.

(vi) Field pattern

Substantial areas of ancient woodland and common survive although much of the original field pattern has been affected by subsequent non-agricultural land use. Where present, fields are small/medium in size and irregular in form;

(vi) Transport pattern

Transport pattern. The majority of original local roads are narrow sinuous lanes with no verges. The St Pancras railway line dissects the area, most noticeably on the Common. The M25 severs the area and the M1 lies to the west;

(vii) Settlements and built form

The traditional pattern of dispersed properties and small hamlets adjacent to the commons can still be locally seen, e.g. at Smug Oak. However, these are invariably dominated by 20th-century development, including the Building Research Establishment (at Garston), which seems to have infiltrated the area in both open and woodland habitats. The main residential areas of Bricket Wood commenced in the 1930s. The exception is at Bricket Wood Common, where a small hamlet including the Old Fox pub nestles at the end of a sinuous narrow lane through the wood. The HSBC College has an institutional character with strongly ornamental grounds. This was initially the American Ambassador College, founded in 1959 and planned around a stuccoed villa;

(viii) Visual and Sensory Perception

Views are relatively contained both from outside and within the area. The jewel of the area is the Common, where there is a strong sense of seclusion and separation. Although certain areas, notably the Common, are well maintained, elsewhere the area has a feeling of neglect and transition. Fly-tipping is a common detractor.

Unrestored mineral workings are clearly visible from public roads,

e.g. Smug Oak Lane and Lye Lane, and many of the residential properties display defensive boundary details;

(ix) Rarity and distinctiveness

Although much of the landscape type is frequent, the Bricket Wood Common is unique within the county. The extensive wet acidic heath is a rare habitat and the area is classified as a High Biodiversity Area in the BAP;



(xiv) Strategy and guidelines for managing change

To safeguard and manage the existing character of the area:

- Support the Watling Chase Community Forest in the realisation of its objectives for the area;
- Continue the management of the Common to benefit restoration of wet heathland flora;
- Promote management on the Common to benefit restoration of coppice woodland;
- Promote the re-establishment of low-density stock grazing and management by rotational cutting, turf stripping and/or controlled burning as appropriate;
- Establish realistic and attractive countryside management schemes for all sites with heathland and acid grassland/scrub community potential. Create a mix of habitat types with a balance between wildlife and public access. Promote local initiatives for traditional management;
- Promote the creation of additional woodlands, particularly with a view to visually integrating the intrusive motorways, urban fringe development and former mineral sites;
- Improve public access arrangements to heaths and woodlands with attention to car park design and public safety;
- Promote the appropriate management of coppice woodland in order to maintain a rich ground flora and the distinction between different management systems, such as high forest, coppice, coppice-with-standards and wood pasture;
- Use ancient hedge and field boundaries to identify the most appropriate location for wood restoration and expansion;

- Promote the creation of buffer zones between intensive arable production and important semi-natural habitats and the creation of links between semi-natural habitats;
- Promote crop diversification and the restoration of mixed livestock/arable farming where possible;
- Promote both the creation of new ponds and the retention/enhancement for wildlife of existing ponds promote i hedgerow restoration through locally appropriate measures including coppicing, laying and replanting/gapping-up;
- Ensure that ancient lanes and their associated hedgerows, ditches and hedge banks are retained, protected, enhanced and integrated into new development with due regard to their historic, ecological and landscape value;
- Traffic-calming measures, where considered necessary, must be of a scale and design that relates to the local landscape character of the settlement; and
- Promote a clear strategy for the visual and noise mitigation of all motorways to positively integrate these corridors into the local landscape character i encourage effective management along transport corridors to ensure thinning, selective felling and replanting is undertaken to achieve a varied age structure and locally indigenous species mix.

Visual Characteristics

- 1.2.1. Views across the western section of the Site are relatively open where vegetation is sparse and topography gradual. All distant views from the west are obscured by Burstn Garden Centre and the commercial glass houses.
- 1.2.2. Views towards How Wood and Chiswell Green residential areas are restricted from all directions by mature woodland.
- 1.2.3. Clear views of the site area available from the footpath/ (HCC FP3) running along the east boundary of the Application Site.

Sensitivities to Change

- 1.2.4. The woodland margin to the south and east boundaries is identified as sensitive to changes in land management.
- 1.2.5. The tranquillity and predominant rural character of the area is at potential risk from new development.
- 1.2.6. The skyline defined by Birch Wood and How Wood for views from the south and east are sensitive to potential visual impacts from new development.
- 1.2.7. Wildlife habitats associated with Birch Wood and How Wood are sensitive to change.
- 1.2.8. Overall the proposed application site exhibits a moderate sensitivity to change in landscape character and visual characteristics. Within the study area the sense of tranquillity is high however, inter-visibility is low. Opportunities are apparent to overcome potential impacts through the appropriate siting of development, and design considerations including landscape enhancement and other mitigation measures.
- 1.2.9. The local footpath (HCC FP3) to the east and Grade II Listed Burstn Manor represent the most sensitive visual receptors.
- 1.2.10. The views across the site from Burstn Manor House and gardens.

Mitigation Measures for Consideration

- 1.2.11. Seek to protect and enhance key features which contribute to local distinctiveness through effective planning and positive land management measures.
- 1.2.12. Seek to improve the integrity of the landscape and reinforce its character by introducing new/enhanced elements where distinctive features or characteristics are depleted, absent or at risk from Proposed Development.



# 1.3. Assessment Methodology

## Determination of the Baseline

- 1.3.1. Landscape and visual effects are independent but related issues; landscape effects result from changes in the landscape, its character and quality; visual effects result from the appearance of the changes and the consequent effect on visual amenity. Accordingly, this landscape and visual assessment identifies:
- Effects on Landscape Character: That is, the effects of the Development on discrete character areas and/or character types comprising features possessing a particular quality or merit; and

### Visual Effects

Effects of the Development on views from visual receptors, and upon the amenity value of the views.

- 1.3.2. This assessment has been prepared informally as a contribution to the planning application process, in order to assist in the ‘appraisal’ modifications in land use and development, that may bring about change in the landscape and in visual amenity.
- 1.3.3. In determining the study area for the baseline it is important to distinguish between the study of the physical landscape and the study of visual amenity. The study area for the physical landscape considers both the immediate locality of the Application Site and the broader rural context. The study area for the visual assessment considers views close to the Proposed Development and those further away. The wider study area is shown at Figure 1.8

- 1.3.4. The baseline study comprises the following:
- Evaluation of the landscape character associated with the Application Site and its surroundings; and

• Identification of views across Application Site, and from the area surrounding the proposals.
- 1.3.5. The baseline study recognises a clear distinction between the ‘impact’, as the action being taken and the ‘effect’, being the result of that action. ‘Impact’ should not be used to mean a combination of several effects. The emphasis on ‘likely significant’ effects stresses the need for an approach that is proportional to the scale of the project that is being assessed and the nature of its likely effects.
- 1.3.6. The determination of the baseline is based primarily on professional judgement. While there is scope for quantitative measurement (for example, the numbers of trees lost to a Proposed Development), the assessment of change on landscape character or visual amenity must rely on qualitative judgements based on training, qualification and experience. This study has been carried out in an independent and fully transparent manner in order to address both the negative and positive effects of the proposals and in a form which is accessible and reliable for all parties concerned.

## Assessing the Significance of Effects

- 1.3.7. In significance evaluation the terms sensitivity and magnitude are used as shorthand for the range of factors relevant to each effect (e.g probability, reversibility, spatial extent etc) and receptor (e.g value, importance, susceptibility, resilience etc). Current best practice promotes the use of new overarching terminology related to the two components of significance evaluation:
- *Nature of receptor (to replace the shorthand ‘sensitivity’); and*

• *Nature of effect (to replace the shorthand ‘magnitude’).*



Prediction Methodology

Landscape Assessment

- 1.3.8. Landscape assessments encompass an appraisal of physical, aesthetic and intangible attributes including the sense of place, rarity or uniformity, and unspoilt appearance. The combination of landscape elements including trees, woodland, open space and parks and their arrangement, together with architectural styles, landscape patterns and the scale of landform, land cover and built development create areas with a unique sense of place or ‘character’.
- 1.3.9. Within the study area, a number of distinct character areas have been defined. Each area has its own distinguishable character defined by a Landscape Character Area (LCA). For each LCA the existing value and condition of the landscape is assessed according to its relative value and condition.
- 1.3.10. The effect of a development upon landscape can include physical effects on the existing landscape character, and potential changes in character, condition and value of the affected landscape. The significance of landscape effects is assessed by taking account of the sensitivity of the receptor (the ability of the landscape to accommodate change) together with the nature, scale and/or magnitude and duration of the change.
- 1.3.11. Factors taken into account include:
  - Changes to the visual appearance of the development area (proportion, scale, enclosure, texture, colour, views).
  - Changes to the character of the Site, including the physical structure of the buildings and development patterns.
  - Perceived changes to the surrounding buildings, street scenes, routes or open space resulting from any changes to context and setting.
  - The value of the landscape character to the public at a local, district, regional and national level.
- 1.3.12. The following matrix provides the basis for the assessment of effects against the sensitivity of the receptor and the scale and/or magnitude of predicted change. Moderate and Major effects are considered 'significant' adverse or beneficial as defined by the current EIA Regulations. An impact assessed as Minor/Moderate is not considered 'significant' in this assessment.

Table 3 Matrix for establishing Significance of Effect

| Magnitude of Impact | Sensitivity / quality    |                               |                               |                               |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                     | Very Low                 | Low                           | Medium                        | High                          |
| Negligible          | Negligible impact        | Negligible impact             | Negligible impact             | Negligible impact             |
| Minor               | Negligible impact        | Minor impact                  | Minor / moderate impact       | Moderate / significant impact |
| Moderate            | Slight impact            | Minor / moderate impact       | Moderate / significant impact | Moderate / significant impact |
| Major               | Slight / moderate impact | Moderate / significant impact | Moderate / significant impact | Major / significant impact    |

Landscape Sensitivity - Criteria for the Assessment of Landscape value

- 1.3.13. The following four-point scale has been used to define the sensitivity and capacity for change in each of the LCA:
  - **High:** Nationally or regionally recognised landscape with a strong structure, characteristic patterns and a balanced combination of land form and land cover; posses features of national or regional value (may be nationally or regionally designated). Any detracting features are not sufficient to undermine sense of place.
  - **Medium:** Nationally, regionally or locally recognised landscape structure with characteristic patterns and land uses; posses features of local value (may be locally designated). Any detracting features are not sufficient to undermine sense of place.
  - **Low:** A notable landscape structure and patterns although the historic character may be masked by current land use. Scope to improve the character through management of the area; source features worthy of conservation. Some detracting features are present and notable in the landscape.

- **Very Low:** Weak landscape structure and characteristic patterns are masked by land use. Lack of management has resulted in degradation; frequent detracting features are present which harm sense of place.

Significance Criteria for Landscape Effects

- 1.3.14. In accordance with the above, the following seven-point contextual scale has been used to define the significance of identified effects for each Landscape Character Area (LCM) within the study area:
  - **Major beneficial:** The Proposed Development would fit very well with the scale, landform and pattern of the landscape and bring substantial enhancements to the landscape.
  - **Moderate beneficial:** The Proposed Development would fit well with the scale, landform and pattern of the landscape and maintain and/or enhance the existing landscape character.
  - **Minor beneficial:** The Proposed Development would complement the scale, landform and pattern of the landscape, whilst maintaining the existing character.
  - **Neutral:** The Proposed Development would cause a change in the landscape but this does not harm or bring significant benefits to the landscape.

- **Negligible:** The Proposed Development would cause very limited change in the landscape but creates no significant effects.
- **Minor adverse:** The Proposed Development would cause minor permanent and/or temporary loss or alteration to one or more key elements or features of the landscape, including the introduction of elements that may not be uncharacteristic of the surrounding landscape.
- **Moderate adverse:** The Proposed Development would cause substantial permanent loss or alteration to one or more key elements of the landscape, including the introduction of elements that are prominent, but may not be substantially uncharacteristic with the surrounding landscape.
- **Major adverse:** The Proposed Development would irrevocably damage, degrade or badly diminish landscape character features, elements and their setting.

Visual Assessment

- 1.3.15. The first stage in the process of assessing the visual effects in relation to a particular development is normally to establish the area from which a proposal is likely to be visible.
- 1.3.16. Following verification on site, viewpoints that both characterise views of the Proposed Development and those which are of particular importance or potentially sensitive are selected.
- 1.3.17. The visual assessment has therefore been based on the selected representative viewpoints against which the effects of the Proposed Development have been assessed.



Table 4 Visual Sensitivity - Criteria for the Assessment of Visual Amenity

| Sensitivity | Justification   |
|-------------|---|
| High        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Observers whose attention or interest may be focused on the landscape and recognised views in particular.</li><li>• Recognised/important viewpoints including those identified within and protected by policy.</li><li>• Designed views including from within historic landscapes.</li><li>• Residential properties - views from rooms occupied during daylight hours.</li><li>• Users of Public Rights of Way and Recreational Trails.</li><li>• Users of Land with Public Access.</li></ul> |
| Medium      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Views of the landscape are part of / but not the sole purpose of the receptors activities.</li><li>• Residential Properties - Views from rooms unoccupied during daylight hours.</li><li>• Those participating in outdoor sports or formal recreation.</li><li>• Users of local roads where there are open views across the landscape and low levels of traffic.</li></ul>  |
| Low         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Attention is focused upon the activity of the receptor and not upon the wider views.</li><li>• Users of main roads travelling at speed, or local roads where the focus is on the road ahead owing to traffic conditions and the context/composition of the views.</li><li>• Places of work.</li></ul>   |

Significance Criteria for Visual Effects

- 1.3.18. The significance of the visual effect resulting from the Proposed Development has been derived through the consideration of the potential sensitivity of change to the view, in addition to the magnitude of change to the view.
- 1.3.19. The sensitivity of the receptor relates to the amenity value of the view. As such, views from public paths or footpaths and residences, where the view is key to its quality, are considered more sensitive than transient views from roads or views from workplaces, schools or retail areas where the view is not likely to be key to the quality of the activity. Account is also taken of the degree to which attention is likely to be focused on the view and the number of people affected.
- 1.3.20. The magnitude of change to the view has been determined by the following:
  - The extent of the view that would be occupied by the Proposed Development (e.g. glimpsed, partial or full).
  - The proportion of the Proposed Development that would be visible from viewpoints (e.g. all of the Development or part of the Development).
  - The distance of the viewpoint from the Proposed Development.
  - Whether the view would focus on the Proposed Development. For example, where a building would effectively create a landmark or the view is directed towards a building by the landscape framework, or the Proposed Development forms one element in a panoramic view; and
  - Whether the Proposed Development contrasts by form or character with its surroundings and/or whether the Proposed Development appears as an extensions or additions to the view's original context.
- 1.3.21. The latter point can depend on how far away the receptor is from the Proposed Development, whether the view is obscured, and the angle of the view point between the receptor and the Application Site.
- 1.3.22. In accordance with the above, the following seven-point contextual scale has been used to define the significance of identified effects for each selected viewpoint within the study area. Moderate and Major effects are considered 'significant'.
  - **Major beneficial:** Development would cause a substantial improvement in the existing view.
  - **Moderate beneficial:** Development would cause a noticeable improvement in the existing view.
  - **Minor beneficial:** Development would cause a barely perceptible improvement in the existing view.
  - **Neutral:** The Development would cause a change in views but this does not harm or bring significant benefits to the views.
  - **Negligible:** No discernible deterioration or improvement in the existing view.
  - **Minor adverse:** Development would cause a barely perceptible deterioration in the existing view.
  - **Moderate adverse:** Development would cause a noticeable deterioration in the existing view.
  - **Major adverse:** Development would cause a substantial deterioration in the existing view.

Limitations and Assumptions

- 1.3.23. The photography for the agreed verified views, included in this assessment, were taken in early spring 2018 prior to significant leaf growth ensuring that the study considers the worst case (Equivalent to winter condition).
- 1.3.24. The visual baseline includes both summer and winter views however, commentary on the potential effects on these views during summer are made only if these differ from the winter condition.
- 1.3.25. The heritage views currently shown were initially taken in the summer months and have been replaced with winter views to enable a 'worse case' assessment.
- 1.3.26. Potential night-time effects are excluded from this assessment.

## 1.4. Baseline Conditions

### Topography

- 1.4.1. The Application Site is characterised by a shallow sloping topography falling from 46m AOD at the north-west corner to 44mm AOD at the south-east corner.

### Vegetation and Open Space

- 1.4.2. The distribution of open space and vegetation is illustrated in *Figure No.1.4 - Existing Significant Vegetation*. The local landscape comprises linear field boundaries associated with the arable land and pasture to the south and west, and established broadleaf woodland to the south and east.
- 1.4.3. How Wood and Birch Wood incorporate a network of footpaths and bridleways and function as a significant recreational resource for the area.

### Landscape Character

#### Landscape Character Area 1 (LCA1): Mixed residential settlement/schools

- This LCA is characterised by external amenity space associated with local schools and residential areas of Chiswell Green, Bricket Wood and How Wood
- The area consists of residential streetscape, general external amenity grassland, open playing field and hard playing surfaces
- The area is well defined with secured boundaries to educational establishments and often visually contained by single/two-storey built form, mature hedgerows and woodland belts.
- Inter-visibility is limited and long views obscured by medium density built form and mature boundary vegetation.
- The character area has medium landscape quality and low sensitivity to change.

#### Landscape Character Area 2 (LCA2): Commercial enterprise/business parks

- This LCA comprises the buildings, infrastructure and surface car parks associated with local business parks within the study area, and commercial enterprise uses including Burstons Garden Centre.
- The area is generally hard in character and vegetation lacks maturity and is predominantly ornamental in nature.
- Inter-visibility is limited and long views obscured by mature boundary vegetation.

- The character area has low landscape quality and low sensitivity to change.
- #### Landscape Character Area 3 (LCA3): Care facility
- This LCA is characterised by a single component - Tenterden House Care Home off Lye Lane west of the Application Site.
  - The area consists of amenity grassland, mature formal tree avenues and ornamental plant groupings.
  - The character area is isolated and conveys medium landscape quality and medium sensitivity to change.

#### Landscape Character Area 4 (LCA4): Scrub/workings (disused)

- This LCA is characterised by areas of derelict agricultural/ industrial land and comprises re-colonised scrub and rough grassland.
- Inter-visibility is limited and long views obscured by mature boundary vegetation including field hedgerows.
- The character area conveys low landscape quality and low sensitivity to change.

#### Landscape Character Area 5 (LCA5): River/waterbody

- This LCA is characterised by the River Ver, its tributaries and lakes in and around Frogmore to the east of the Application Site.
- Inter-visibility is limited and long views obscured by mature woodland vegetation and built form
- The character area conveys high landscape quality and medium sensitivity to change, however the area is visually isolated from the Application Site and therefore not at risk of adverse impact.

#### Landscape Character Area 6 (LCA6): Pasture/arable land

- This LCA is widely dispersed in irregular blocks throughout the study area and east of the River Ver on associated floodplain.
- A proportion of the area has a heavily cultivated or grazed appearance which emphasises the shallow sloping topography of the local landscape
- Inter-visibility is high due to the expansive low-lying nature of the area
- The character area has high landscape quality and medium sensitivity to change.

#### Landscape Character Area 7 (LCA7): M25 corridor

- This LCA is associated with the M25 which sits in a deep cutting on land south of the study area
- Inter-visibility is low due to the recessed nature of the highway corridor and mature woodland boundaries
- The character area has low landscape quality and low sensitivity to change.

#### Landscape Character Area 8 (LCA8): Woodland

- This LCA is characterised by the mature woodland belts particularly associated with How Wood and Birch Wood east and south of the Application Site respectively.
- Inter-visibility is limited and long views obscured by mature woodland vegetation
- The character area conveys high landscape quality and medium sensitivity to change.

### Visual Conditions

- 1.4.4. A total of 17 verified views have been identified in the scope of the visual baseline. The viewpoint locations are shown on *Figure 1.8 Visual Appraisal*. A further five heritage views have been taken from Burstons Manor House and its gardens and their location is illustrated on *Figure 1.9*
- 1.4.5. It is important to note that the assessment incorporates winter views and thus demonstrates the period in which the degree of visual enclosure is at its minimum. The evidence confirms that, from the outer zone of the study area, the Application Site is well contained from the north by existing terrain and mature woodland vegetation to the south and east.
- 1.4.6. The nature of the shallow sloping topography and relative openness of the landscape results in a moderate degree of visual exposure from the west.
- 1.4.7. There are few viewpoints from which continuous and extensive views of the Proposed Development are gained however, these are restricted to close proximity views from the adjacent public right of way traversing the eastern boundary.

### Receptors

- 1.4.8. The most sensitive receptors of existing views towards the Application Site and the quality of the views are described below. Receptors are grouped into two broad categories based on the current Guidelines - most sensitive and least sensitive.

**Most Sensitive:** People using local Public Right of Way and inhabitants of adjacent residential properties.

**Least Sensitive:** People travelling through or past the affected landscape in cars, on trains or other transport methods; and people at their place of work.



Key Views

- 1.4.9.

This assessment is based on a mapping of Key Views rather than a Zone of Visual Influence (ZVI) which would be difficult to determine given the extent of intervening vegetation. The following descriptions record the visual conditions observed for the selected viewpoints, from which it is predicted that the whole or part of the Proposed Development is visible. Viewpoints are either ‘representative’ (for example, certain points chosen to represent views of users of particular footpaths and bridleways) or ‘illustrative’ (views to demonstrate a particular effect, for example restricted visibility from certain locations).
- 1.4.10.

This section provides a written description of views which should be read in conjunction with the verified photography and AVR.
- 1.4.11.

The selected views have been identified in order to consider and assess the potential impact from the Proposed Development and capacity for change in visual amenity in the local landscape. 7 viewpoints were selected for representative verifiable photomontages in order to illustrate any potential change in views and visual amenity. The key viewpoints concentrate on those receptors considered to be the most visually sensitive in the context of the Application Site.

View 1: View south from entrance to Burston Manor Farm

*Key receptors:* Neighbouring private properties

*Sensitivity to change:* High

View 2: View south from public right of way (HCC FP3) skirting eastern site boundary

*Key receptors:* Users of Public Right of Way

*Sensitivity to change:* High

View 3: View south from public right of way (HCC FP3) adjacent access to How Wood

*Key receptors:* Users of Public Right of Way

*Sensitivity to change:* High

View 4: View north from public right of way (HCC FP3) linking How Wood to A405 North Orbital Road

*Key receptors:* Users of Public Right of Way

*Sensitivity to change:* Medium

View 5: View north from How Wood towards entrance to public right of way (HCC FP3)

*Key receptors:* Private residents and Users of Public Right of Way

*Sensitivity to change:* Medium

View 6: View west from Grovelands opposite footpath entrance to How Wood

*Key receptors:* Users of the Highway

*Sensitivity to change:* High

View 7: View west along Spruce Way towards How Wood

*Key receptors:* Private residents and users of the highway

*Sensitivity to change:* Medium

View 8: View south from public right of way (HCC FP3) linking Manor Drive to North Orbital Road

*Key receptors:* Users of the Highway

*Sensitivity to change:* High

View 9: View south from entrance to Albany Mews adjacent to A405 North Orbital Road

*Key receptors:* Users of Public Right of Way

*Sensitivity to change:* Medium

View 10: View south from footpath on verge to north side of A405 North Orbital Road

*Key receptors:* Users of Public Right of Way

*Sensitivity to change:* Medium

View 11: View west from footbridge on junction of A405 North Orbital Road/Tippendell Lane

*Key receptors:* Users of Public Right of Way and highway

*Sensitivity to change:* Medium

View 12: View west along Ruscombe drive towards How Wood off Tippendell Lane

*Key receptors:* Users of Public Right of Way

*Sensitivity to change:* Medium

View 13: View south from Chiswell Green Lane adjacent to Butterfly World

*Key receptors:* Users of highway and occupants of residential properties

*Sensitivity to change:* Medium

View 14:View north from Birch Wood Way

*Key receptors:* Users of highway and occupants of residential properties

*Sensitivity to change:* Medium

View 15: View east along public right of way (HCC FP18) linking Lye Lane to A405

*Key receptors:* Users of Highway and private residents

*Sensitivity to change:* Medium

View 16: View south from public right of way (HCC FP3) linking A405 to How Wood

*Key receptors:* Users of Public Right of Way

*Sensitivity to change:* Medium

View 17: View looking north east from edge of Birch Wood towards Burston Manor

*Key receptors:* Users of the proposed new bridleway

*Sensitivity to change:* High

Heritage Views

View A: View south from upper floor of Burston Manor

*Key receptors:* Residents of Burston Manor

*Sensitivity to change:* High

View B: View south east from upper floor of Burston Manor

*Key receptors:* Residents of Burston Manor

*Sensitivity to change:* High

View C: View east from upper floor of Burston Manor

*Key receptors:* Residents of Burston Manor

*Sensitivity to change:* High

View D: View looking east from Burston Manor Garden

*Key receptors:* Residents and users of these private gardens

*Sensitivity to change:* High

View E: View looking south east from Burston Manor Garden

*Key receptors:* Residents and users of these private gardens

*Sensitivity to change:* High

View F: View looking south west from Burston Manor Garden

*Key receptors:* Residents and users of these private gardens

*Sensitivity to change:* High

## 1.5. Identification and Description of Changes Likely to Generate Effects

1.5.1. Landscape character and key views have been assessed for potential impact during both construction and operation. The landscape elements of the Proposed Development set out in the section below form part of the embedded mitigation strategy and are therefore incorporated into the assessment of effects.

### Landscape Elements of Proposed Development

#### Movement and Legibility

1.5.2. The Proposed Development mitigates potential impacts on existing public rights of way and offers the potential for new pedestrian connections within the Proposed Development. The following enhancements to movement and legibility include:

- Improved pedestrian access across the Application Site associated with the new access road off North Orbital Road and provision of footpath connections to currently private lane.
- New access road and associated infrastructure strengthens site legibility.

#### Open Space and Vegetation

1.5.3. The Proposed Development will incorporate:

- Reinforcement to existing tree belts and vegetation structure.
- Key trees woodland margin and under-storey to be conserved.
- New low level structure planting to complement the new buildings and landscape spaces.
- New high quality hard and soft landscape associated with Proposed Development to routes and open spaces.
- New screen planting and fencing to mitigate potential landscape and visual effects from new parking areas.
- New boundary screen planting to establish a visual continuity the woodland edge.

#### Land Use

1.5.4. The Proposed Development is for a new retirement community comprising assisted living bungalows and apartments, with community facilities together with associated access, bridleway extension, landscaping, amenity space, car parking and other associated and ancillary works.

1.5.5. The Proposed Development incorporates a substantial high quality landscape setting with improved connectivity to the local residential areas of How Wood and Chiswell Green.

### Potential Construction Impacts

1.5.6. Construction impacts may be short-term or temporary in nature. Potential impacts on the landscape resource during construction include:

- The presence of construction traffic, construction plant and equipment.
- Elevated noise affecting the enjoyment of local PRoW.
- Site clearance, soil stripping and excavation works.
- Introduction of built elements (buildings, frontages etc).
- Removal of trees and/or tree works on retained trees.

1.5.7. Potential impacts and effects on visual amenity during the construction phase include:

- The presence of construction traffic and/or presence of construction plant and equipment.
- The introduction/removal of built fabric (buildings, frontages etc).
- Relationships with the existing adjoining residential properties.
- The removal of trees and/or tree works on retained trees.
- Diversion of existing utilities.
- Security and safety lighting.
- Installation of hoarding to perimeter of contract site.

#### Assumed Mitigation During Construction

1.5.8. For the purposes of assessing the impacts on the landscape resource and visual receptors the following mitigation measures have been included in this assessment:

- Noise emissions from construction plant will be minimised through the adoption of best practice techniques.
- Measures which address the ‘high risk’ of nuisance caused by dust generation during the demolition process and other construction related activities.
- Floodlighting associated with the construction works will be sufficient to enable operations, as required, throughout the proposed working hours. Good working practices will be incorporated to reduce potential glare and light spill set out in the Institute of Lighting Professionals Guidance Notes on the Reduction of Obtrusive Light, 2012.

### Potential Operational Impacts

1.5.9. Operational impacts may be short-term, long-term, temporary or permanent in nature. Potential impacts and effects on the landscape resource during operation include:

- The presence of additional traffic.
- The presence of new built elements and their influence on the existing landscape character
- Changes to the existing public access and distribution of open space.

1.5.10. Potential impacts and effects on visual amenity during the operation phase include:

- Visual effects associated with additional traffic movements.
- Visual effects of new built elements
- Overlooking.
- The potential impact on existing levels of night-time lighting.

#### Assumed Mitigation During Operation

1.5.11. Good working practices to reduce potential glare and light spillage will be implemented in accordance with the ILP Guidance Notes on the Reduction of Obtrusive Light, 2012.



# 1.6. Assessment of Likely Significant Effects

- 1.6.1.

The assessment tables indicate the predicted direct and indirect impacts for representative landscape and visual receptors during the construction stage (construction impacts) and on completion of the development (operational impacts). The tables include embedded mitigation, i.e. measures which are included in the scheme for approval and by which potential adverse impacts may
- be reduced, avoided, compensated or enhanced for predicted construction or operational effects. Scope for potential additional mitigation is considered in Section 1.7 of this report.

1.6.2.

Predicted summer effects are only assessed where they differ significantly from the winter condition.

Table 5 – Assessment of Construction Impacts on Landscape Character Areas

| Landscape Character Area (LCA)                | Nature of Receptor (Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts   | Magnitude of Impact | Effect Significance | Embedded Mitigation   | Effect after Embedded Mitigation | Predicted Summer Effects | Predicted Night Time Effects |
|---|----------------------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| LCA1 - Mixed residential settlement/schools   | Medium                           | Construction activities are predicted to result in a moderate adverse impact on the quality of the local residential areas of How Wood and Bricket Wood (all other locations within LCA unaffected).          | Moderate            | Moderate Adverse    | <div><div></div><div>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</div><div>• Existing mature hedgerow boundaries and tree belts will be retained where viable</div><div>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</div><div>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</div><div>• Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with local authority</div><div>• Haulage routes will be carefully maintained</div><div>• Wheel washing and speed restrictions will apply at entry and exit points</div></div> | Minor Adverse                    | No Change                | N/A<br>(Not Applicable)      |
| LCA2 - Commercial enterprise / business parks | Low                              | Construction activities likely to affect quality of adjacent Burston Garden Centre. However due to close proximity sense of openness to the east partially restricted and some noise disturbance will result. | Moderate            | Moderate Adverse    | <div><div></div><div>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</div><div>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</div></div>   | Minor Adverse                    | No Change                | N/A                          |
| LCA 3 - Care facility                         | Medium                           | Construction activities unlikely to affect character area due to distance from Proposed Development and intervening vegetation and built form. Tower Cranes potentially visible on horizon.                   | Minor               | Negligible          | <div><div></div><div>• None Required</div></div>  | Negligible                       | No Change                | N/A                          |

| Landscape Character Area (LCA)  | Nature of Receptor (Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts  | Magnitude of Impact | Effect Significance | Embedded Mitigation   | Effect after Embedded Mitigation | Predicted Summer Effects | Predicted Night Time Effects |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| LCA 4 - Scrub/Working (disused) | Low                              | Construction activities unlikely to affect landscape quality due to distance, intervening builtform and vegetation. The predicted impact will be negligible.   | Minor               | Negligible          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• None Required</li></ul>   | Negligible                       | No Change                | N/A                          |
| LCA 5 - River/Waterbody         | High                             | Construction activities unlikely to affect landscape quality and sense of tranquillity due to distance and intervening built form and vegetation.  | Minor               | Negligible          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• None Required</li></ul>   | Negligible                       | No Change                | N/A                          |
| LCA 6 - Pasture/Arable Farmland | Medium                           | Construction activities unlikely to affect character area due to distance from Proposed Development, intervening landform, vegetation and built form.  | Minor               | Negligible          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• None Required</li></ul>   | Negligible                       | No Change                | N/A                          |
| LCA 7 - M25 Corridor            | Low                              | Construction activities unlikely to affect landscape quality of character area due to distance from Proposed Development, intervening built form and vegetation.   | Minor               | Negligible          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• None Required</li></ul>   | Negligible                       | No Change                | N/A                          |
| LCA 8 - Woodland                | High                             | Construction activities likely to negatively affect character area immediately to the east and south of the Proposed Development for public recreational activities with How Wood and Birch Wood respectively, however impact will be short - term | High                | Major Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with local authority</li><li>• Haulage routes will be carefully maintained</li><li>• Wheel washing and speed restrictions will apply at entry and exit points</li></ul> | Moderate Adverse                 | Minor Adverse            | N/A                          |



Table 6 - Assessment of Operational Impacts on Landscape Character Areas

| Landscape Character Area (LCA)              | Nature of Receptor (Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts  | Magnitude of Impact | Effect Significance | Embedded Mitigation  | Effect after Embedded Mitigation | Predicted Summer Effects | Predicted Night Time Effects |
|---|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| LCA1 - Mixed Residential Settlement/Schools | Medium                           | Proposed Development is predicted to result in a minor adverse impact on the adjacent areas of How Wood and Birch Wood after mitigation due to screening effect of local woodland (all other locations within LCA unaffected). | Moderate            | Moderate Adverse    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Additional boundary planting will be introduced to filter views of the Proposed Development from adjacent character area boundary</li><li>Introduction of fully integrated landscape framework and enhanced external amenity to Application Site.</li><li>Introduction of new physical boundary features</li></ul> | Minor Adverse                    | No Change                | N/A                          |
| LCA2 - Commercial Enterprise/Business Parks | Low                              | Predicted minor adverse impact on character area due to the relative proximity to the Application Site and change in degree of openness (all other locations within LCA unaffected).   | Moderate            | Minor Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Additional boundary planting at interface with Burston Garden Centre</li><li>Introduction of fully integrated landscape framework and enhanced external amenity to Application Site.</li></ul>   | Negligible                       | No Change                | N/A                          |
| LCA 3 - Care Facility                       | Medium                           | Limited impact due to distance and isolation of character area and restricted access to the general public.  | Negligible          | Negligible          | None Required  | Negligible                       | No Change                | N/A                          |
| LCA 4 - Scrub/Workings (disused)            | Low                              | Limited impact due to distance and isolation of character area, low landscape quality and restricted access to the general public.   | Negligible          | Negligible          | None Required  | Negligible                       | No Change                | N/A                          |
| LCA 5 - River/Waterbody                     | High                             | Limited impact due to distance and isolation of character area and restricted access to the general public.  | Negligible          | Negligible          | None Required  | Negligible                       | No Change                | N/A                          |
| LCA 6 - Pasture / Arable Land               | Medium                           | Limited impact due to distance and isolation of character area and restricted access to the general public.  | Negligible          | Negligible          | None Required  | Negligible                       | No Change                | N/A                          |
| LCA 7 - M25 Corridor                        | Low                              | Limited impact due to distance of character area and low landscape quality.  | Negligible          | Negligible          | None Required  | Negligible                       | No Change                | N/A                          |

| Landscape Character Area (LCA) | Nature of Receptor (Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts   | Magnitude of Impact | Effect Significance | Embedded Mitigation  | Effect after Embedded Mitigation | Predicted Summer Effects | Predicted Night Time Effects |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| LCA 8 - Woodland               | High                             | Moderate impact due to relative openness and proximity to Proposed Development. Predicted minor adverse impact on tranquillity of local How Wood and Birch Wood following mitigation. | Moderate            | Moderate Adverse    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Introduction of fully integrated landscape framework and enhanced external amenity and public access to Application Site.</li><li>• Additional boundary planting will be introduced to filter views of the proposed development from adjacent character area boundary</li><li>• Introduction of new physical boundary features</li></ul> | Minor Adverse                    | No Change                | N/A                          |



Table 7 – Assessment of Construction Impacts on Visual Receptors

| Visual Receptor<br>(and Representative Views)  | Nature of Receptor<br>(Visual Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts  | Magnitude of<br>Impact | Effect<br>Significance | Embedded Mitigation  | Effect after<br>Embedded<br>Mitigation | Predicted Summer<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) | Predicted Night-time<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) |
|--|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| <b>View 1</b> - View south from entrance to Burston Manor Farm                               | High                                       | Construction activities predominantly screened from view by intervening vegetation and built form Works likely to be audible due to close proximity of receptor.         | Minor                  | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundaries and tree belts will be retained where viable</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li></ul>  | Minor Adverse                          | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 2</b> - View south from public right of way (HCC FP3) skirting eastern site boundary | High                                       | Receptor offers direct open panoramic view of application site from public right of way. Construction site and infrastructure works clearly visible from this viewpoint. | Major                  | Major Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundaries and tree belts will be retained where viable</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with LPA.</li></ul> | Moderate Adverse                       | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 3</b> – View south from public right of way (HCC FP3) adjacent access to How Wood    | High                                       | Receptor offers direct open panoramic view of application site from public right of way. Construction site and infrastructure works clearly visible from this viewpoint. | Major                  | Major Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundaries and tree belts will be retained where viable</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with LPA.</li></ul> | Moderate Adverse                       | No Change   | N/A   |

| Visual Receptor<br>(and Representative Views)   | Nature of Receptor<br>(Visual Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts  | Magnitude of<br>Impact | Effect<br>Significance | Embedded Mitigation   | Effect after<br>Embedded<br>Mitigation | Predicted Summer<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) | Predicted Night-time<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) |
|---|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| <b>View 4</b> - View north from public right of way (HCC FP3) linking How Wood to A405 North Orbital Road | High                                       | Construction activities fully screened from view by intervening vegetation. Works likely to be audible due to close proximity of receptor. | Minor                  | Moderate Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundaries and tree belts will be retained where viable</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with LPA.</li></ul>  | Minor Adverse                          | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 5</b> - View north from How Wood towards entrance to public right of way (HCCFP3)                 | Medium                                     | Construction activities fully screened from view by intervening vegetation. Works likely to be audible due to close proximity of receptor. | Minor                  | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundaries and tree belts will be retained where viable</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with LPA.</li></ul>  | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 6</b> - View west from Grovelands opposite footpath entrance to How Wood                          | Medium                                     | Construction activities fully screened from view by intervening vegetation. Works likely to be audible due to close proximity of receptor. | Minor                  | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundaries and tree belts will be retained where viable</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with local authority</li><li>• Noise emissions from construction plant will be minimised through the adoption of best practice techniques.</li></ul> | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |



| Visual Receptor<br>(and Representative Views)  | Nature of Receptor<br>(Visual Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts   | Magnitude of<br>Impact | Effect<br>Significance | Embedded Mitigation  | Effect after<br>Embedded<br>Mitigation | Predicted Summer<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) | Predicted Night-time<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) |
|--|--|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| <b>View 7</b> - View west along Spruce Way towards How Wood  | Medium                                     | Construction activities fully screened from view by intervening vegetation. Works likely to be audible due to close proximity of receptor.  | Minor                  | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li> <li>Existing mature hedgerow boundaries and tree belts will be retained where viable</li> <li>Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</li> <li>Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li> <li>Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with local authority</li> <li>Noise emissions from construction plant will be minimised through the adoption of best practice techniques.</li> </ul> | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 8</b> - View south from public right of way (HCCFP3) linking Manor Drive to North Orbital Road | High                                       | <p>New road infrastructure works partially visible from this viewpoint although limited intervening vegetation and topography.</p> <p>Construction activities fully screened from view by intervening vegetation. Works likely to be audible due to close proximity of receptor.</p> <p>Tower Cranes may be evident from this receptor.</p> | Moderate               | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li> <li>Existing mature hedgerow boundaries and tree belts will be retained where viable</li> <li>Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</li> <li>Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li> <li>Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with local authority</li> <li>Noise emissions from construction plant will be minimised through the adoption of best practice techniques.</li> </ul> | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |

| Visual Receptor<br>(and Representative Views)  | Nature of Receptor<br>(Visual Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts  | Magnitude of<br>Impact | Effect<br>Significance | Embedded Mitigation   | Effect after<br>Embedded<br>Mitigation | Predicted Summer<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) | Predicted Night-time<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) |
|--|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| <b>View 9</b> - View south from entrance to Albany Mews adjacent to A405 North Orbital road        | Medium                                     | New road infrastructure works partially visible from this viewpoint although limited intervening vegetation and topography.<br><br>Construction activities fully screened from view by intervening vegetation. Works likely to be audible due to close proximity of receptor.<br><br>Tower Cranes may be evident from this receptor. | Moderate               | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>Existing mature hedgerow boundaries and tree belts will be retained where viable</li><li>Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</li><li>Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with local authority</li><li>Noise emissions from construction plant will be minimised through the adoption of best practice techniques.</li></ul> | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 10</b> - View south from footpath on verge to north side of A405 North Orbital Road        | Medium                                     | New road infrastructure works partially visible from this viewpoint although limited intervening vegetation and topography.<br><br>Construction activities fully screened from view by intervening vegetation. Works likely to be audible due to close proximity of receptor.<br><br>Tower Cranes may be evident from this receptor. | Moderate               | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>Existing mature hedgerow boundaries and tree belts will be retained where viable</li><li>Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</li><li>Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with local authority</li><li>Noise emissions from construction plant will be minimised through the adoption of best practice techniques.</li></ul> | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 11</b> – View west from Footbridge on Junction of A405 North Orbital Road/ Tippendell Lane | Medium                                     | Construction activities will not affect this receptor due to distance and intervening vegetation.  | Negligible             | Negligible             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>None Required</li></ul>   | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 12</b> - View west along Ruscombe drive towards How Wood off Tippendell Lane               | Medium                                     | Construction activities predominantly screened from view by intervening vegetation. Tower cranes may be evident due to relative elevation of receptor.   | Minor                  | Negligible             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>None Required</li></ul>   | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |

| Visual Receptor<br>(and Representative Views)  | Nature of Receptor<br>(Visual Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts  | Magnitude of<br>Impact | Effect<br>Significance | Embedded Mitigation  | Effect after<br>Embedded<br>Mitigation | Predicted Summer<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) | Predicted Night-time<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) |
|--|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| <b>View 13</b> - View south from Chiswell Green Lane adjacent to Butterfly World         | Medium                                     | Construction activities predominantly screened from view by intervening vegetation. Tower cranes may be evident due to relative elevation of receptor.         | Minor                  | Negligible             | • None Required  | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 14</b> - View north from Birch Wood Way  | Medium                                     | Construction activities fully screened from view by intervening vegetation and built form.   | Negligible             | Negligible             | • None Required  | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 15</b> - View east along public right of way (HCC FP18) linking Lye Lane to A405 | Medium                                     | Construction activities predominantly screened from view by intervening vegetation and built form. Tower Cranes will be visible due to proximity of viewpoint. | Minor                  | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundaries and tree belts will be retained where viable</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with local authority</li><li>• Noise emissions from construction plant will be minimised through the adoption of best practice techniques</li></ul> | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 16</b> - View south from public right of way (HCC FP3) linking A405 to How Wood  | Medium                                     | Construction activities predominantly screened from view by intervening vegetation and built form. Tower Cranes will be visible due to proximity of viewpoint. | Minor                  | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundaries and tree belts will be retained where viable</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movements will be restricted</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with local authority</li><li>• Noise emissions from construction plant will be minimised through the adoption of best practice techniques</li></ul> | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |



| Visual Receptor<br>(and Representative Views)                                | Nature of Receptor<br>(Visual Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts   | Magnitude of<br>Impact | Effect<br>Significance | Embedded Mitigation   | Effect after<br>Embedded<br>Mitigation | Predicted Summer<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) | Predicted Night-time<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) |
|--|--|---|------------------------|------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| <b>View 17</b> -View looking north east from edge of Birchwood towards Manor | High                                       | The receptor offers direct open views of the proposed development. The view will be shortened by built form and extensive garden and boundary planting. Location will not become a public right of way until after construction is complete | Major                  | Major Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movement will be restricted</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with LPA</li><li>• New bridleway will not be open to public until operation stage.</li></ul>                          | Moderate Adverse                       | No change   | N/A   |
| <b>Heritage View A</b> – View south from upper storey of Burston Manor       | High                                       | Construction activity predominantly screened from view by intervening vegetation in garden and boundary and beyond. Tower Cranes may be visible due to proximity and viewpoint.   | Moderate               | Moderate Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundary and tree belt will be retained</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movement will be restricted</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage route will be agreed with local authority</li></ul> | Moderate Adverse                       | Minor Adverse   | N/A   |
| <b>Heritage View B</b> -View south east from upper storey of Burston Manor   | High                                       | Construction activity predominantly screened from view by intervening vegetation in garden and boundary and beyond. Tower Cranes may be visible due to proximity and viewpoint.   | Moderate               | Moderate Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundary and tree belt will be retained</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movement will be restricted</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage route will be agreed with local authority</li></ul> | Moderate Adverse                       | Minor Adverse   | N/A   |

| Visual Receptor<br>(and Representative Views)                              | Nature of Receptor<br>(Visual Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts   | Magnitude of<br>Impact | Effect<br>Significance | Embedded Mitigation   | Effect after<br>Embedded<br>Mitigation | Predicted Summer<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) | Predicted Night-time<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) |
|--|--|---|------------------------|------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| <b>Heritage View C</b> – View east from upper storey of Burston Manor      | High                                       | Construction activity predominantly screened from view by intervening vegetation in garden and boundary and beyond. Tower Cranes may be visible due to proximity and viewpoint. | Moderate               | Moderate Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundary and tree belt will be retained</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movement will be restricted</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage route will be agreed with local authority</li></ul> | Moderate Adverse                       | Minor Adverse   | N/A   |
| <b>Heritage View D</b> – View looking east from Burston Manor Garden       | High                                       | Construction activity predominantly screened by significant existing vegetation   | Minor                  | Negligible             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundary and tree belt will be retained</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movement will be restricted</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage route will be agreed with local authority</li></ul> | Negligible                             | Negligible  | N/A   |
| <b>Heritage View E</b> – View looking south east from Burston Manor Garden | High                                       | Construction activity significantly screened by existing vegetation to the boundary   | Minor                  | Moderate Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundary and tree belt will be retained</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movement will be restricted</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage route will be agreed with local authority</li></ul> | Moderate Adverse                       | Minor Adverse   | N/A   |

| Visual Receptor<br>(and Representative Views)                              | Nature of Receptor<br>(Visual Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts   | Magnitude of<br>Impact | Effect<br>Significance | Embedded Mitigation   | Effect after<br>Embedded<br>Mitigation | Predicted Summer<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) | Predicted Night-time<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) |
|--|--|---|------------------------|------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| <b>Heritage View F</b> – View looking south west from Burston Manor Garden | High                                       | Construction activity significantly screened by existing vegetation to the boundary | Moderate               | Moderate Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded (Heras type)</li><li>• Existing mature hedgerow boundary and tree belt will be retained</li><li>• Construction duration, working hours and traffic movement will be restricted</li><li>• Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li><li>• Alignment of haulage route will be agreed with local authority</li></ul> | Moderate Adverse                       | Minor Adverse   | N/A   |



Table 8 – Assessment of Operational Impacts on Visual Receptors

| Visual Receptor<br>(and Representative Views)   | Nature of Receptor<br>(Visual Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts  | Magnitude of<br>Impact | Effect<br>Significance | Embedded Mitigation  | Effect after<br>Embedded<br>Mitigation | Predicted Summer<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) | Predicted Night-time<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) |
|---|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| <b>View 1</b> - View south from entrance to Burston Manor Farm  | High                                       | Proposed Development fully screened from view by intervening vegetation and built form.  | Minor                  | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Introduction of fully integrated landscape framework and enhanced external amenity to Application Site</li><li>Consideration given to siting, levels and landscape enhancements to minimise potential impact.</li></ul>  | Negligible                             | N/A   | N/A   |
| <b>View 2</b> - View south from public right of way (HCC FP3) skirting eastern site boundary              | High                                       | Receptor offers a direct view of the proposed development from the public right of way but this is partially screened by the existing fence which will be retained. The predicted impact is significant due to the public nature of receptor. The degree of openness is reduced by the proposed buildings and boundary planting resulting in a minor adverse impact. | Major                  | Moderate Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Introduction of fully integrated landscape framework boundary treatment and enhanced external amenity to Application Site</li><li>Consideration given to siting, levels and height of buildings, location and nature of landscape enhancements to minimise potential impact.</li></ul> | Minor Adverse                          | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 3</b> - View south from public right of way (HCC FP3) adjacent access to How Wood                 | High                                       | Receptor offers direct open view of proposed development from public right of way. Predicted impact is significant due to public nature of receptor. The degree of openness is reduced by proposed buildings and boundary planting resulting in a minor adverse impact.  | Major                  | Moderate Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Introduction of fully integrated landscape framework boundary treatment and enhanced external amenity to Application Site</li><li>Consideration given to siting, levels and heights of buildings, extent and nature of landscape enhancements to minimise potential impact.</li></ul>  | Minor Adverse                          | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 4</b> - View north from public right of way (HCC FP3) linking How Wood to A405 North Orbital Road | High                                       | The Proposed Development is predominantly screened for this receptor by intervening vegetation.  | Minor                  | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Introduction of fully integrated landscape framework and enhanced external amenity to Application Site</li><li>Consideration given to siting, levels and landscape enhancements to minimise potential impact.</li></ul>  | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 5</b> - View North from How Wood towards entrance to public right of way (HCC FP3)                | Medium                                     | The Proposed Development is predominantly screened for this receptor by intervening vegetation.  | Negligible             | Negligible             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>None Required</li></ul>  | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |

| Visual Receptor<br>(and Representative Views)  | Nature of Receptor<br>(Visual Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts   | Magnitude of<br>Impact | Effect<br>Significance | Embedded Mitigation   | Effect after<br>Embedded<br>Mitigation | Predicted Summer<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) | Predicted Night-time<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) |
|--|--|---|------------------------|------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| <b>View 6</b> - View west from Grovelands opposite footpath entrance to How Wood                         | Medium                                     | The proposed development is screened by intervening predominantly vegetation and built form.  | Minor                  | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Introduction of fully integrated landscape framework and enhanced external amenity to Application Site</li><li>• Consideration given to siting, levels and landscape enhancement to minimise potential impact.</li></ul>  | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 7</b> - View west along Spruce Way towards How Wood  | Medium                                     | The proposed development is screened by intervening predominantly vegetation and built form.  | Minor                  | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Introduction of fully integrated landscape framework and enhanced external amenity to Application Site</li><li>• Consideration given to siting, levels and landscape enhancements to minimise potential impact from new housing area.</li></ul>   | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 8</b> - View south from public right of way (HCC FP3a) linking Manor Drive to North Orbital Road | High                                       | <p>The proposed development is predominantly screened by intervening vegetation and built form.</p> <p>New road infrastructure is visible from this receptor.</p> | Minor                  | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Installation of infrastructure tree planting associated with new access road and development edge</li><li>• Installation of appropriate and consistent boundary fencing</li><li>• Introduction of fully integrated landscape framework and enhanced external amenity to Application Site</li><li>• Consideration given to siting, levels and landscape enhancements to minimise potential impact</li></ul>  | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 9</b> - View south from entrance to Albany Mews adjacent to A405 North Orbital Road              | Medium                                     | <p>The proposed development is predominantly screened by intervening vegetation and built form.</p> <p>New road infrastructure is visible from this receptor.</p> | Moderate               | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Installation of infrastructure tree planting associated with new access road and development edge.</li><li>• Installation of appropriate and consistent boundary fencing</li><li>• Introduction of fully integrated landscape framework and enhanced external amenity to Application Site</li><li>• Consideration given to siting, levels and landscape enhancements to minimise potential impact</li></ul> | Negligible                             | No Change   | N/A   |

| Visual Receptor<br>(and Representative Views)   | Nature of Receptor<br>(Visual Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts  | Magnitude of<br>Impact | Effect<br>Significance | Embedded Mitigation  | Effect after<br>Embedded<br>Mitigation | Predicted Summer<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) | Predicted Night-time<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) |
|---|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| <b>View 10</b> - View south from footpath on verge to north side of A405 North Orbital Road                 | Medium                                     | The proposed development is predominantly screened by intervening vegetation and built form.<br><br>New road infrastructure is visible from this receptor.   | Minor                  | Negligible             | None Required  | Negligible                             | No change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 11</b> - View west from footbridge on junction of A405 North Orbital Road / Tippendell Lane         | Medium                                     | The Proposed Development will not affect this receptor due to distance and intervening vegetation.   | Negligible             | Negligible             | None Required  | Negligible                             | No change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 12</b> - View west along Ruscombe Drive towards How Wood of Tippendell Lane                         | Medium                                     | The Proposed Development is fully screened for this receptor by intervening vegetation and landform.   | Negligible             | Negligible             | None Required  | Negligible                             | No change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 13</b> - View south from Chiswell Green Lane adjacent to Butterfly World                            | Medium                                     | The Proposed Development will not affect this receptor due to distance intervening built form and vegetation.  | Negligible             | Negligible             | None Required  | Negligible                             | No change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 14</b> - View north from Birch Wood Way   | Medium                                     | The Proposed Development is fully screened for this receptor by intervening vegetation.  | Negligible             | Negligible             | None Required  | Negligible                             | No change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 15</b> – View east along public right of way (HCC FP18) linking Lye Lane to A405 North Orbital Road | Medium                                     | The Proposed Development is fully screened for this receptor by intervening vegetation and built form.   | Negligible             | Negligible             | None Required  | Negligible                             | No change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 16</b> - View south from public right of way (HCC FP3) linking A405 North Orbital Road to How Wood  | Medium                                     | The Proposed Development is fully screened for this receptor by intervening vegetation and builtform.  | Negligible             | Negligible             | None Required  | Negligible                             | No change   | N/A   |
| <b>View 17</b> - Looking north east from edge of Birchwood towards Manor                                    | High                                       | The receptor offers direct open views of the proposed development. The view will be shortened by built form and extensive garden and boundary planting. Location will not become a public right of way until after construction is complete. | Major                  | Major Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Full perimeter of site will be securely hoarded</li> <li>Construction duration, working hours and traffic movement will be restricted</li> <li>Site lighting and construction activities will be set back from boundaries where possible</li> <li>Alignment of haulage routes will be agreed with LPA</li> <li>New bridleway will not be open to public until operation stage.</li> </ul> | Minor Adverse                          | No change   | N/A   |



| Visual Receptor<br>(and Representative Views)                               | Nature of Receptor<br>(Visual Sensitivity) | Predicted Impacts  | Magnitude of<br>Impact | Effect<br>Significance | Embedded Mitigation   | Effect after<br>Embedded<br>Mitigation | Predicted Summer<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) | Predicted Night-time<br>Effects (Qualitative<br>Assessment) |
|---|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| <b>Heritage View A</b> – View south from upper storey of Burston Manor      | High                                       | The proposed development is significantly screened by existing vegetation offering only filtered views of built development. Proposed planting provides additional strategic screening   | Moderate               | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Buildings located to minimise visibility</li><li>Boundary Planting carefully located to provide screening and retain key views across the site</li><li>Integrated landscape management to whole site.</li></ul> | Minor Adverse                          | Minor Adverse   | N/A   |
| <b>Heritage View B</b> – View south east from upper storey of Burston Manor | High                                       | The proposed development is significantly screened by existing vegetation offering only filtered views of built development. Proposed planting provides additional strategic screening   | Moderate               | Moderate Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Buildings located to minimise visibility</li><li>Boundary Planting carefully located to provide screening and retain key views across the site</li><li>Integrated landscape management to whole site.</li></ul> | Minor Adverse                          | Minor Adverse   | N/A   |
| <b>Heritage View C</b> – View East from upper storey of Burston Manor       | High                                       | The proposed development is significantly screened by existing vegetation offering only filtered views of built development. Proposed planting provides additional strategic screening   | Moderate               | Moderate Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Buildings located to minimise visibility</li><li>Boundary Planting carefully located to provide screening and retain key views across the site</li><li>Integrated landscape management to whole site.</li></ul> | Minor Adverse                          | Minor Adverse   | N/A   |
| <b>Heritage View D</b> – View looking east from Burston Manor Garden        | High                                       | The proposed development is significantly screened by existing vegetation offering only glimpsed views of the built development  | Minor/<br>negligible   | Minor Adverse          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Buildings located to minimise visibility</li><li>Boundary Planting carefully located to provide screening and retain key views across the site</li><li>Integrated landscape management to whole site.</li></ul> | Minor Adverse                          | Negligible  | N/A   |
| <b>Heritage View E</b> – View looking south east from Burston Manor Garden  | High                                       | View of the proposed development is filtered by existing tree in the garden, hedge and trees to the boundary. Built development is located away from the boundary, with significant gaps to allow open views towards How Wood and Birchwood upper tree canopy. | Moderate               | Moderate Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Buildings located to minimise visibility</li><li>Boundary Planting carefully located to provide screening and retain key views across the site</li><li>Integrated landscape management to whole site.</li></ul> | Minor Adverse                          | Minor Adverse   | N/A   |
| <b>Heritage View F</b> – View looking south west from Burston Manor Garden  | High                                       | View of the proposed development is filtered by existing tree in the garden, hedge and trees to the boundary. Built development is located away from the boundary  | Moderate               | Moderate Adverse       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Buildings located to minimise visibility</li><li>Boundary Planting carefully located to provide screening and retain key views across the site</li><li>Integrated landscape management to whole site.</li></ul> | Minor Adverse                          | Minor Adverse   | N/A   |

# 1.7. Scope for Mitigation

## Embedded Mitigation

1.7.1. Embedded mitigation measures have been considered for all potential landscape and visual impacts as part of an iterative design process. Identified measures include responsive design to avoid or reduce potential adverse effects including the careful siting, scale and height of new built, ground modelling, proposals for compensation and enhancement planting, and strategic landscape management and operational procedures. In the majority of cases the existing topography, built form and boundary vegetation restrict significant adverse effects on existing landscape and visual amenity within the identified study area.

## Avoidance Measures

1.7.2. A strategy of impact avoidance has been adopted from the outset of the design process. The Proposed Development employs the following design principles to intercept potential adverse impacts:

- Embrace opportunities to retain and enhance landscape quality, ecological value and visual amenity.
- Insert new structure planting to reinforce boundaries and movement corridors.
- Retain and reinforce important tree groups and boundary vegetation.
- Retain strategic open views from Burston Manor House and Garden across site to How Wood.
- Introduce robust areas of new planting to reduce the perceived density of the development and enhance the visual amenity from key views.

1.7.3. Where potential adverse landscape and visual impacts result from the Proposed Development measures will be adopted to reduce the significance of these effects:

- Establish strong landscape edges incorporating diverse native tree and shrub planting, scrub to provide cover for wildlife and strengthen local distinctiveness.
- Retain key long views through and across the site from the Bridleway and upper floors of Burston Manor
- Upgrade the quality of existing public rights of way bordering the Application Site, reinforcing existing boundaries by introducing diverse hedgerow species to benefit amenity, strengthen biodiversity and optimise opportunities for wildlife movement and inhabitation.
- Establish a legible movement network to promote sustainable transport uses in particular cycling and walking.
- Where viable replace and conserve existing trees/ hedgerows in accordance with the recommendations of BS5837.

## Further Recommended 'Additional Mitigation', Compensation and Enhancement

1.7.4. Where potential adverse impacts cannot be avoided or reduced, additional mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures will be considered. The following potential additional mitigation could further enhance the landscape character and visual amenity of the Proposed Development and moderate adverse impacts on landscape character and views:

- Consider advanced infrastructure planting to boundaries and vehicle access locations (outside the main area of construction activity) which has the opportunity to mature and establish from an early stage in the build programme; and
- Implement a comprehensive Landscape and Ecological Management Plan to ensure full commitment to the long-term design objectives and landscape management responsibilities for the Application Site.

## 1.8. Residual Effects

- 1.8.1. This section considers the residual effects which remain after the incorporation of embedded mitigation measures. These measures are integral to the Proposed Development and provide material consideration in this assessment. Consideration is given to the beneficial effects of the maturing of new planting and specific secondary mitigation measures such as the introduction of physical barriers to alleviate adverse impacts during the early years of operation. The assessment of residual operational effects is based on a 15 year initial term in the life of the project.

### Residual Effects on Landscape During Construction

- 1.8.2. A carefully designed and managed Code of Construction Practice (COCP) will minimise the potential adverse effects on local landscape character arising from construction. An important component of this process will be the protection of all retained trees, hedgerows and vegetation located next to the site boundary under current British Standard (BS 5837).The residual landscape effects during construction are stated in the Tables (Section 1.6 Assessment of Likely Significant Effects).

### Residual Effects on Views During Construction

- 1.8.3. The phased and early implementation of a proportion of the planting works associated with the new access road and development edges will assist in minimising predicted adverse visual effects during the construction phase for close up views from public footpaths and highways to the south and east.
- 1.8.4. Particular attention will be paid to the potential visual effects upon users of the public right of way east of the site (HCC FP3).
- 1.8.5. Well managed and controlled site activities and the application of good practices (as outlined within a COCP) will minimise the potential adverse visual effects arising from the construction phase.

### Residual Effects on Landscape at Operation

- 1.8.6. The future residual effects of the Proposed Development on landscape character will be largely confined to the Application Site and its immediate surroundings.
- 1.8.7. The Proposed Development will introduce localised changes in landscape character and pattern by the replacement of the existing degraded land with a retirement community comprising assisted living bungalows and apartments, with community facilities together with associated access, bridleway extension, landscaping, amenity space, car parking and other associated and ancillary works.
- 1.8.8. The large proportion of the identified Local Character Areas will remain unchanged due to their relative isolation and the degree by which the Application Site is screened by existing mature woodland belts.
- 1.8.9. The main benefits in landscape terms arise from the maturing of the boundary landscape and tree planting associated with the new public realm which will assist in assimilating the newly proposed built form with the local wooded environment.
- 1.8.10. The creation of an orchard garden of meadow and occasional fruit trees extends the general character of Burston Manor gardens into the development site, strengthening this landscape character and the connection with the development site.
- 1.8.11. Any adverse effects on landscape character will lessen over time as the proposed infrastructure planting works mature. The careful management of retained trees and boundary vegetation will also deliver localised positive benefits for the identified local character areas overlapping or adjacent to the Application Site, including potential ecological enhancement.

### Residual Effects on Views at Operation

- 1.8.12. Substantial new tree and shrub planting is proposed along the eastern and southern boundary of the application Site. It will effectively filter views of the built development when viewed from adjacent bridleway receptors.
- 1.8.13. Key long views across and over the site are retained from the Bridleway and upper storey of Burston Manor to retain the sense of openness from these receptors, and connection with How and Birch Woods.
- 1.8.14. The successful establishment and maturing of the proposed native boundary planting will provide a valuable resource and localised benefits to a proportion of selected visual receptors to the east of the Application Site.
- 1.8.15. The visual screening afforded by existing vegetation to Burston Manor boundary is significant, creating filtered views to the site and woodland beyond. The proposed development creates an open green landscape next to this boundary with views across the site and its greenspaces to How Wood and the canopy of Birchwood.
- 1.8.16. The embedded mitigation proposed in the landscape strategy will assist the integration of the Proposed Development within the visual amenity of the surrounding landscape such that the Residual Visual Effects for the majority of selected views is Negligible. Views immediately adjacent to the development will experience minor adverse residual visual effects, these include views from the bridleways, Burston Manor House and garden.



# 1.9. Summary and Conclusions

## Summary of Effects on Landscape during Construction (Table 5)

- 1.9.1. The existing Application Site has medium landscape amenity value and makes a moderate beneficial contribution to the character of the study area. During the construction phase a negligible impact will result for a majority of identified Local Character Areas (LCA). The assessment concludes that a minor effect on LCA1 and LCA2. This will effect only a very small part of these built character areas where they are next to the Application Site or How Wood. The assessment also concludes that LCA 8, Woodland, will experience moderate effect resulting from a reduction in the open character of the site and temporary loss of tranquillity during construction. It is important to note that all predicted adverse impacts during the construction phase will be of a short-term nature.
- 1.9.2. The north, east and south boundaries are to a significant degree contained within existing mature vegetation thus significantly reducing potential adverse effects on adjacent character areas. A 'Code of Construction Practice' will identify measures taken to address potential adverse impacts on retained vegetation, site biodiversity, public rights of way and residential areas through the build period. During the construction phase the precise impact of heavy vehicle movement on the local road network will be carefully managed. The routing of construction traffic including times of operation will be carefully controlled. Construction activity within the site boundary will be mitigated by effective hoarding of the site's boundary including wheel washing of vehicles and phasing to ensure sensitive construction procedures are well used. Recommended additional mitigation may include advanced infrastructure planting along the site's boundaries (particularly the interface with the existing right of way to the east) would establish green structure and screening at an early stage of the operation phase.

### Predicted impacts after embedded mitigation:

*LCA 1 and 2 are predicted to experience a minor adverse effect (however this will be temporary in nature)*

*LCA 8 is predicted to experience a moderate adverse effect (however this will be temporary in nature)*

## Summary of Effects on Landscape at Operation (Table 6)

- 1.9.3. The existing site is horticultural in character with elements of commercial use associated with the Garden Centre The site is contained within a tall, timber, close-board fence and mature woodland canopy to the south and east. In accordance with the guidance the amenity of the existing site is of moderate value where the degree of openness does make a positive contribution and accentuates the prominence of the local woodland. The predicted operational impacts on the landscape within the study area are made in light of this existing context.
- 1.9.4. In summary, the magnitude of change experienced by the majority of identified character areas, is negligible given the degree of screening from the wider environment. The site will incorporate high quality landscape amenity as an integral component of the masterplan. Links to the existing pedestrian network are improved offering new local opportunities for recreation and amenity onto land which is currently inaccessible and provides no direct benefits to the local community.
- 1.9.5. Any predicted short-term adverse impact resulting from the Proposed Development on identified Landscape Receptors will diminish with time as new planting matures and the existing landscape character is transformed.

### Predicted impacts after embedded mitigation:

*LCA 1 is predicted to experience a minor adverse effect with embedded mitigation*

*LCA 8 is predicted to experience a minor adverse effect with embedded mitigation*

## Summary of Effects on Views during Construction (Table 7)

- 1.9.6. The site is well screened from surrounding areas by mature vegetation to the north, east (how Wood)and south (Birch Wood). This results in negligible visual effect for all the key views except those that are immediately next to the Development Site. These view locations are 2,3,4,17 and the historic views from Burston Manor house and garden. These views will experience minor to moderate visual effect resulting from the construction operations such as:
  - Location of tower cranes
  - Location of construction site compound
  - Location of temporary and permanent lighting
  - Stripping site, breaking existing hard-standings and stockpiling of materials in areas to be identified
  - Construction traffic.The effects are temporary in nature, for the duration of the construction of the Development.

### Predicted impacts after embedded mitigation

*Views 1 and 4 are predicted to experience a minor adverse effect with embedded mitigation (however this will be temporary in nature)*

*Views 2, 3 and 17 are predicted to experience a moderate adverse effect with embedded mitigation (however this will be temporary in nature)*

#### Heritage Views

*Views A,B,C,D,E,F are predicted to experience moderate to minor adverse effect with embedded mitigation (however this will be temporary in nature).*

## Summary of Effects on Winter Views at Operation (Table 8)

- 1.9.7. For the majority of views the predicted impact will be negligible due to the visual isolation and restricted inter-visibility of the Application Site. The only adverse impacts affects the existing public right of way bordering the east boundary and from Burston Manor upper storeys and garden. The public right of way will experience a reduction in the degree of openness. The development seeks to limit this impact by careful siting of buildings, the introduction of native planting with key views across the site retained to help preserve the sense of openness. The effect on these views is minor adverse as mitigation measures become embedded.
- 1.9.8. The heritage views from the upper storey of Burston Manor and it’s garden are filtered by existing trees and vegetation. The proposed development seeks to retain the open views from the Manor by carefully placing buildings to minimise their visual impact and by creating open green landscape areas giving views to How Wood and the canopy of Birch Wood. As a result the residual impact on views is minor adverse.

### Predicted impacts after embedded mitigation:

*View 2 is predicted to experience a minor adverse effect with embedded mitigation*

*View 3 is predicted to experience a minor adverse effect with embedded mitigation*

*View 17 is predicted to experience a minor adverse effect with embedded mitigation*

#### Heritage Views

*View A, B, C, D, E and F are predicted to experience minor adverse effect during winter.*

*View D is predicted to experience negligible effect in summer\_.*

Conclusions

- 1.9.9. This development proposal seeks to address concerns raised by the Appeal Inspector in relation to the previous planning submission that was refused and the subsequent appeal dismissal in January 2020. These concerns relate to the three Green Belt purposes namely :
- To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas;
  - To prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another and
  - To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment
- 1.9.10. The scheme provides a reduced quantum of development, as compared to the previous scheme carefully located to minimise landscape and visual effects. It provides more open green space and native woodland planting to help assimilate the scheme into the surroundings and it retains key open views from the bridleway and upper storey of Burston Manor and Gardens across the site.
- 1.9.11. The impact on landscape character and views is highly localised because of the contained nature of the site. The majority of landscape character areas have negligible impact after embedded mitigation. The properties immediately adjacent to Birch and How Wood within the mixed residential character area LCA1 will experience minor adverse impact. The woodland character area LCA 8 will also result in a minor adverse effect after mitigation. These result from a reduction in the open character of the Application Site.

- 1.9.12. The Application site is visually contained and this results in the majority of viewpoints having negligible visual impact from the proposed development. Only views from the bridleway (views 2 and 3) and the Heritage Views from Burston Manor and Gardens are affected. The bridleway views will experience views of boundary planting that filter the proposed built development resulting in a minor adverse impact. Similarly the views from the upper storey of Burston Manor and Gardens are substantially screened by existing vegetation and have only narrow views across the site. The proposed development reinforces the boundary vegetation with new planting and locates built development mainly in areas currently screened from the building. The visual impact is minor adverse.
- 1.9.13. In conclusion, the location and quantity of proposed building, together with the proposed planting, retained key open views, new open green spaces all combine to help assimilate the scheme into its surroundings. This results in a development that sits sympathetically in its rural edge of settlement environment where landscape and visual effects will be negligible for the majority of the context and only a very limited minor adverse effect for the immediate proximity to the Development Site.

1.10. References

1. The Hedgerow Regulations 1997: Statutory Instrument 1997; No 1160, Crown Copyright 1997. Office of the Deputy Prime Minister (2005) Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development: London: HMSO.
2. Landscape Character Assessment for the Hertfordshire County Council
3. St. Albans City and District Council Green Belt Assessment 2014
4. Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental Management & Assessment (2012) Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (Third Edition).
5. The Countryside Agency and Scottish Natural Heritage (2002) Landscape Character Assessment: Guidance for England and Scotland.
6. British Standards Institute (2012) British Standard 5837: 2012 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction - Recommendations.



# LVA 01

## Figures



Baseline Conditions – Site Location




Figure 1.1 Site Location



## Baseline Conditions – National Landscape Character Areas Map

The map distinguishes the regional landscape character of the broad study areas. Natural England has produced a Countryside Character Map for England, which identifies broad areas of distinct and individual Countryside Character. The character and take account of the physical landform and the effect of human activities on the natural world. The National Framework of Character Areas identifies and describes the diversity of landscape character areas across England and provides a common starting point for more detailed local assessments.

The site lies within the Chilterns (Character Area 110), the key characteristics of which include:

- The extensively wooded and farmed Chilterns landscape is underlain by chalk bedrock that rises up from the London Basin to form a north-west facing escarpment offering long views over the adjacent vales.
- The countryside is a patchwork of mixed agriculture with woodland, set within hedged boundaries.
- Furthest from London, the natural and built features of the countryside are recognised as special and attractive in approximately half the National Character Area (NCA) by the designation of the Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and, in a small area south of the River Thames, by the North Wessex Downs AONB.
- Farming of the valleys and escarpment began in the Neolithic and continues to this day as a major land use. Arable farming is concentrated on deep, well drained soils found in the valleys, along the scarp foot and beneath the hills in the north.
- Common land and downland exist as fragments of their former extents. Commons are numerous across the plateau, providing green space near to people's homes.
- Throughout the area, historic buildings and also some more recent constructions display locally distinctive uses of local materials, particularly brick and flint. Large mansions and follies are frequent in the countryside, many relating to Registered Parks and Gardens.




Figure 1.2 National Landscape Character Areas Maps



# Baseline Conditions – Topography

Key

- Application site
- <75m
- 75 – 80m
- 80 – 85m
- 85 – 90m
- 90 – 95m
- 95 – 100m
- > 100m




Figure 1.3 Topography Plan





Baseline Conditions – Existing Significant Vegetation

Key

Application Site

Significant existing vegetation




Figure 1.4 Existing Significant Vegetation Plan





# Baseline Conditions – Movement

- Key
- Application Site
  - Major roads / Motorway
  - Dual Carriageway
  - Local road
  - Railway
  - Other routes with pedestrian access
  - Footpath / Bridleway
  - National trail / Long distance / Recreational route
  - Permissive footpaths
  - Local public car park




Figure 1.5 Movement Plan





Baseline Conditions – Designations and Significant Features





Figure 1.6 Designations and significant Features





# Baseline Conditions – Landscape Character Areas

- Key
- Application Site
  - Mixed residential settlement / schools (LCA 01)
  - Commercial enterprise / business parks (LCA 02)
  - Care facility (LCA0 3)
  - Scrub / workings (disused) (LCA 04)
  - River / waterbody (LCA 05)
  - Pasture / arable farmland (LCA06)
  - M25 corridor (LCA 07)
  - Woodland (LCA08)





Figure 1.7 Site appraisal / Landscape Character Assessment





Baseline Conditions – Visual Appraisal

Key

- Application Site
- Approximate zone of visual influence (ZVI) 'Defined by topography, built form and vegetation blocks'
- Location of AVR views
- Location of verified photographic views

Viewpoint 1: Lat: 51° 43.273' N, Lon: 0° 21.518 W  
Viewpoint 2: Lat: 51° 43.285' N, Lon: 0° 21.348 W  
Viewpoint 3: Lat: 51° 43.242' N, Lon: 0° 21.251 W  
Viewpoint 4: Lat: 51° 43.166' N, Lon: 0° 21.140 W  
Viewpoint 5: Lat: 51° 43.142' N, Lon: 0° 21.055 W  
Viewpoint 6: Lat: 51° 43.233' N, Lon: 0° 21.132 W  
Viewpoint 7: Lat: 51° 43.285' N, Lon: 0° 21.163 W  
Viewpoint 8: Lat: 51° 43.293' N, Lon: 0° 21.572 W  
Viewpoint 9: Lat: 51° 43.282' N, Lon: 0° 21.602 W  
Viewpoint 10: Lat: 51° 43.329' N, Lon: 0° 21.474 W  
Viewpoint 11: Lat: 51° 43.537' N, Lon: 0° 21.233 W  
Viewpoint 12: Lat: 51° 43.471' N, Lon: 0° 21.023 W  
Viewpoint 13: Lat: 51° 43.694' N, Lon: 0° 21.843 W  
Viewpoint 14: Lat: 51° 43.025' N, Lon: 0° 21.254 W  
Viewpoint 15: Lat: 51° 43.223' N, Lon: 0° 21.658 W  
Viewpoint 16: Lat: 51° 43.301' N, Lon: 0° 21.463 W  
Viewpoint 17: Lat: 51° 43.090' N, Lon: 0° 21.210 W

Site visit: 14.04.18  
Weather: Clear  
Visibility: Good/5000m




Figure 1.8 Visual appraisal





Baseline Conditions – Landscape Character Area Photographs



LCA1



LCA2



LCA3



LCA4



LCA5



LCA6



LCA7



LCA8

# LVA 02

## Photographic Viewpoints



Approx. extent of Application Site beyond vegetation and built-form


Entrance to Burston  
Manor Farm

Burston Manor (Grade II)

Entrance to Burston  
Garden Centre



View 1 - View South from Entrance to Burston Manor Farm




Distance: 0 - 500m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.273' N  
Lon: 0° 21.518 W  
Date: 14.04.18








View 2 - View South from Public Right of Way (HCC FP3) skirting eastern site boundary




Distance: 0m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.285' N  
Lon: 0° 21.348 W  
Date: 14.04.18








View 3 - View South from Public Right of Way (HCC FP3) adjacent access to How Wood




Distance: 0m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.242' N  
Lon: 0° 21.251' W  
Date: 14.04.18








View 4 - View North from Public Right of Way (HCC FP3) linking How Wood to A405 North Orbital Road




Distance: 0 - 500m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.166' N  
Lon: 0° 21.140' W  
Date: 14.04.18







View 5 - View North from How Wood towards Entrance to Public Right of Way (HCC FP3)



Distance: 0 - 500m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.142'N  
Lon: 0° 21.055 W  
Date: 14.04.18







View 6 - View West from Grovelands opposite footpath entrance to How Wood




Distance: 0 - 500m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.233' N  
Lon: 0° 21.132' W  
Date: 14.04.18








View 7 - View West along Spruce Way towards How Wood



Distance: 0 - 500m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.285' N  
Lon: 0° 21.163 W  
Date: 14.04.18







View 8 - View south from Public Right of Way (HCC FP3) linking Manor Drive to North Orbital Road




Distance: 0 - 500m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.293'N  
Lon: 0° 21.572 W  
Date: 14.04.18






View 9 - View South from entrance to Albany Mews adjacent to A405 North Orbital Road




Distance: 0 - 500m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.282'N  
Lon: 0° 21.602 W  
Date: 14.04.18





View 10 - View South from footpath on verge to North side of A405 North Orbital Road




Distance: 0 - 500m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.329' N  
Lon: 0° 21.474 W  
Date: 14.04.18





View 11 - View West from footbridge on junction of A405 North Orbital Road / Tippendell Lane




Distance: 500 - 1000m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.537'N  
Lon: 0° 21.233 W  
Date: 14.04.18





View 12 - View West along Ruscombe Drive towards How Wood off Tippendell Lane



Distance: 0 - 500m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.471'N  
Lon: 0° 21.023 W  
Date: 14.04.18







View 13 - View South from Chiswell Green Lane adjacent to Butterfly World




Distance: 1000 - 1500m  
 Visibility: Good / Clear  
 Alt:  
 Lat: 51° 43.694' N  
 Lon: 0° 21.843 W  
 Date: 14.04.18





View 14 - View North from Birchwood Way




Distance: 0 - 500m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.025' N  
Lon: 0° 21.254' W  
Date: 14.04.18







View 15 - View East along Public Right of Way (HCC FP 18) linking Lye Lane to A405 North Orbital Road



Distance: 0- 500m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.223' N  
Lon: 0° 21.658 W  
Date: 14.04.18





View 16 - View South from Public Right of Way (HCC FP3) linking A405 North Orbital Road to How Wood



Distance: 0 - 500m  
Visibility: Good / Clear  
Alt:  
Lat: 51° 43.301'N  
Lon: 0° 21.463 W  
Date: 14.04.18






Approx. extent of Application Site



View 17 - View North from south west corner of site



Easting: 513665.715

Northing: 203563.952

Ground level 85.282



# LVA 03

## Accurate Visual Representations



The images on the following pages illustrate the four most sensitive views in their existing state, at year 1 and at year 15.



View 2 - Winter - View south from Public Right of Way (HCC FP3) skirting eastern site boundary




View 2 - Existing



View 2 - Year 1



View 2 - Year 15



Easting: 513659.774

Northing: 203806.961

Ground level 85.324





View 3 - View south from Public Right of Way (HCC FP3) adjacent access to How Wood




View 3 - Existing



View 3 - Year 1



View 3 - Year 15



Easting: 513780.222  
Northing: 203727.622  
Ground level 85.153






View 4 - View north from Public Right of Way (HCC FP3) linking How Wood to A405 North Orbital Road




View 4 - Existing



View 4 - Year 1



View 4 - Year 15



Easting: 513849.717

Northing: 203653.631

Ground level 86.535





View 17 - View North from south west corner of site




View 17 - Existing



View 17 - Year 1



View 17 - Year 15



Easting: 513665.715  
Northing: 203563.952  
Ground level 85.282



# LVA 06

## Heritage Views



View Location Map





## Viewpoint A - Burston Manor First Floor, West Bedroom



Viewpoint A - Existing



Viewpoint A - Year 1



Viewpoint A - Year 15



Easting: 513528.176  
Northing: 203705.319  
Ground level 89.696





# Viewpoint B - Manor First Floor, Corridor



Viewpoint B - Existing



Viewpoint B - Year 1



Viewpoint B - Year 15



Easting: 513535.901  
Northing: 203710.755  
Ground level 89.346





## Viewpoint C - Manor First Floor, East Bedroom



Viewpoint C - Existing



Viewpoint C - Year 1



Viewpoint C - Year 15



Easting: 513544.453  
Northing: 203714.122  
Ground level 89.474





# Viewpoint D - View Looking East from Burston Manor Garden



Viewpoint D - Existing



Viewpoint D - Year 1



Viewpoint D - Year 15




Easting: 513548.935  
Northing: 203721.43  
Ground level 85.928





## Viewpoint E - View looking south from Burston Manor Garden



Viewpoint E - Existing



Viewpoint E - Year 1



Viewpoint E - Year 15



Easting: 513577.769

Northing: 203711.778

Ground level 85.669





# Viewpoint F - View looking south west from Burston Manor Garden



Viewpoint F - Existing



Viewpoint F - Year 1



Viewpoint F - Year 15



Easting: 513560.974  
Northing: 203694.312  
Ground level 85.926





