# **St Albans City & District Council**

Year ending 31 March 2013

**Annual Audit Letter** 

22 October 2013



### DELIBERATELY LEFT BLANK FOR PRINTING PURPOSES



Ernst & Young LLP One Cambridge Business Park Cambridge CB4 0WZ Tel: + 44 1223 394400 Fax: + 44 1223 394401 ey.com



The Members
St Albans City & District Council
Civic Centre
St Peters Street,
St Albans
Hertfordshire AL1 3JE

22 October 2013

Dear Members,

#### **Annual Audit Letter**

The purpose of this Annual Audit Letter is to communicate to the Members of St Albans City & District Council and external stakeholders, including members of the public, the key issues arising from our work, which we consider should be brought to their attention.

We have already reported the detailed findings from our audit work to those charged with governance of St Albans City & District Council in our 2012/13 Audit Results Report, issued on 26 September 2013. The matters reported here are the most significant for the Council.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank the officers of St Albans City & District Council for their assistance during the course of our work.

Yours faithfully

Mark Hodgson Director For and behalf of Ernst & Young LLP Enc

### DELIBERATELY LEFT BLANK FOR PRINTING PURPOSES

## **Contents**

1.	Executive summary	. ′
2.	Key findings	. (
3.	Control themes and observations	ļ

In March 2010 the Audit Commission issued a revised version of the 'Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies' (Statement of responsibilities). It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the <a href="Audit Commission's website">Audit Commission's website</a>.

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the Audit Commission's appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The Standing Guidance serves as our terms of appointment as auditors appointed by the Audit Commission. The Standing Guidance sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the Code of Audit Practice 2010 (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Audit Results Report is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Members of the audited body, and is prepared for their sole use. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure – If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.

# 1. Executive summary

Our 2012/13 audit work has been undertaken in accordance with the Audit Plan we issued on 28 March 2013 and is conducted in accordance with the Audit Commission's Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) and other guidance issued by the Audit Commission.

The Council is responsible for preparing and publishing its Statement of Accounts, accompanied by the Annual Governance Statement. In the Annual Governance Statement, the Council reports publicly on an annual basis on the extent to which they comply with their own code of governance, including how they have monitored and evaluated the effectiveness of their governance arrangements in the year, and on any planned changes in the coming period. The Council is also responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

As auditors we are responsible for:

- forming an opinion on the financial statements;
- reviewing the Annual Governance Statement;
- forming a conclusion on the arrangements that the Authority has in place to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources; and
- undertaking any other work specified by the Audit Commission.

Summarised below are the conclusions from all elements of our work:

Audit the financial statements of St Albans City & District Council for the financial year ended 31 March 2013 in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK & Ireland)	On 27 September 2013 we issued an unqualified audit opinion in respect of the Council.
Form a conclusion on the arrangements the Council has made for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.	On 27 September 2013 we issued an unqualified value for money conclusion.
Issue a report to those charged with governance of the Council (the Audit Committee) communicating significant findings resulting from our audit.	On 26 September 2013 we issued and presented our report in respect of the Council to the Audit Committee.
Report to the National Audit Office on the accuracy of the consolidation pack the Council is required to prepare for the Whole of Government Accounts.	We reported our findings to the National Audit Office on 27 September 2013.
Consider the completeness of disclosures in the Council's Annual Governance Statement, identify any inconsistencies with the other information of which we are aware from our work and consider whether it complies with CIPFA / SOLACE guidance.	No issues to report.
Consider whether, in the public interest, we should make a report on any matter coming to our notice in the course of the audit.	We did not issue such a report.
Determine whether any other action should be taken in relation to our responsibilities under the Audit Commission Act.	We did not take such action.
Issue a certificate that we have completed the audit in accordance with the requirements of the Audit Commission Act 1998 and the Code of Practice issued by the Audit Commission.	On 27September 2013 we issued our audit completion certificate.

Issue a report to those charged with governance of the Council summarising the certification (of grants claims and returns) work that we have undertaken.

We plan to issue our annual certification report to those charged with governance with respect to the 2012/13 financial year by 31 January 2014.

#### 1.1 **Audit fees**

The table below sets out the scale fee and our final proposed audit fees.

	Planned fee	Scale fee	Final
Code audit work	£80,545	£79,145	£80,545
Certification of claims and returns	£39,300	£39,300	see note below
Non-Code work	Nil	N/A	Nil

Our final fee includes the variation to the scale fee of £1,400, representing the cost of additional work undertaken on the Council's accounting treatment for Property, Plant and Equipment.

Our work on the certification of claims and returns is not yet complete. We will report our final fee for the certification work in our report to be issued by 31 January 2014.

We confirm that we have not undertaken any non-audit work outside of the Audit Commission's Audit Code requirements.

# 2. Key findings

#### 2.1 Financial statement audit

We audited the Council's Statement of Accounts in line with the Audit Commission's Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) and other guidance issued by the Audit Commission. We issued an unqualified audit report on 25 July 2013.

In our view, the quality of the process for producing the accounts, including the supporting working papers was good, was significantly improved from prior years, and this is was reflected in the low number of errors reported.

The main issues identified as part of our audit were:

Significant risk 1 - Valuation of Property, Plant and Equipment: The valuation of property assets is based on estimation techniques; the estimate is highly sensitive, with small changes in the assumptions used in the valuation resulting in material changes in value.

Findings: Our testing identified a small number of material errors in relation to the valuation of Property, Plant and Equipment. Management corrected these errors prior to the issue of our opinion on the financial statements. These errors related to:

- ▶ the need to calculate depreciation charges in a manner that recognises that individual components of the same asset will have differing lives; and
- ▶ the need to reflect changes in the valuation of property assets between the valuation date (1 April 2012) and the Balance Sheet date (31 March 2013).

These changes did not affect the level of usable reserves available to the Council.

#### Other key findings:

In addition to the matters referred to above, our audit identified a small number of further errors which we highlighted to management for amendment. Management corrected all of these. Again, none of these errors impacted on the usable reserves available to the Council.

#### 2.2 Value for money conclusion

We are required to carry out sufficient work to conclude on whether the Council has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

In accordance with guidance issued by the Audit Commission, in 2012/13 our conclusion was based on two criteria:

- ► The organisation has proper arrangements in place for securing financial resilience; and
- ► The organisation has proper arrangements for challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We issued an unqualified value for money conclusion on 27 September 2013. Our audit did not identify any significant matters.

The following is a brief summary of our findings against each of these criteria.

#### Criteria and findings

#### 1. Financial resilience

The Council has robust arrangements in place to ensure its financial resilience. The Council has a history of good financial management and a strong record in the delivery of budgets. At 31 March 2013, the Council's general fund balance available to meet unforeseen circumstances stood at £5.0 million, while earmarked reserves were £1.3 million. Although the majority of these are earmarked for specific purposes, they do provide the Council with some flexibility to manage its financial position.

The Council has set a balanced budget for 2013/14 with no planned drawdown of reserves and without increasing council tax. Recurring efficiency savings of £0.2 million are required to achieve this budget. A further savings requirement has been identified for 2014/15 of £0.5 million. The Council is currently developing plans to identify these savings.

The balance on the Housing Revenue Account stood at £2.8 million, while earmarked reserves were £0.2 million.

#### 2. Securing economy efficiency and effectiveness

The Council has demonstrated that it has effective arrangements in place for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

The Council uses cost and performance information to make decisions, including the use of comparative and benchmarking data to challenge economy, efficiency and effectiveness of services. The Council continues to challenge its costs in order to reduce these without adversely affecting the quality of its services through a variety of means including improved procurement, internal restructuring and setting up new service delivery arrangements with other public bodies and with private sector organisations.

#### 2.3 Whole of government accounts

We reported to the National Audit office on 27 September 2013 the results of our work performed in relation the accuracy of the consolidation pack the Council is required to prepare for the whole of government accounts. We identified a small number of inconsistencies between the Council consolidation pack and its financial statements. We reported these matters to the National Audit Office.

#### 2.4 Annual governance statement

We are required to consider the completeness of disclosures in the Council's Annual Governance Statement, identify any inconsistencies with the other information of which we are aware from our work, and consider whether it complies with CIPFA / SOLACE guidance.

We completed this work and did not identify any areas of concern

#### 2.5 Certification of grants claims and returns

We have not yet completed our work on the certification of grants and claims.

We will issue the Annual Certification Report for 2012/13 in January 2014.

## 3. Control themes and observations

As part of our audit of the financial statements, we obtained an understanding of internal control sufficient to plan our audit and determine the nature, timing and extent of testing performed. Although our audit was not designed to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control we are required to communicate to those charged with governance at the Council any significant deficiencies in internal control.

We had no such matters to report.

## EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

### Ernst & Young LLP

 $\ensuremath{@}$  Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK. All rights reserved.

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

ey.com